arXiv:1512.08011v2 [math-ph] 22 Jan 2016

"MIXED SPECTRAL NATURE" OF THUE–MORSE HAMILTONIAN

QINGHUI LIU, YANHUI QU, AND XIAO YAO

ABSTRACT. We find three dense subsets Σ_I, Σ_{II} and Σ_{III} of the spectrum of the Thue-Morse Hamiltonian, such that each energy in Σ_I is extended; each energy in Σ_{II} is pseudo-localized and each energy in Σ_{III} is one-sided pseudo-localized. We also obtain exact estimations on the norm of the transfer matrices and the norm of the formal solutions for these energies. Especially, for $E \in \Sigma_{II} \cup \Sigma_{III}$, the norms of the transfer matrices behave like

$$e^{c_1\gamma\sqrt{n}} \le \|T_n(E)\| \le e^{c_2\gamma\sqrt{n}}.$$

The local dimensions of the spectral measure on these subsets are also studied. The local dimension is 0 for energy in Σ_{II} and is larger than 1 for energy in $\Sigma_I \cup \Sigma_{III}$. In summary, the Thue-Morse Hamiltonian exhibits "mixed spectral nature".

1. INTRODUCTION

Discrete Schrödinger operator originates from crystal physics. To describe the motion of one electron in a one-dimensional crystal, physicists use the following "tight binding" model: $H_V : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ defined as

$$(H_V\psi)_n = \psi_{n+1} + \psi_{n-1} + V(n)\psi_n,$$

where $V : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the potential. To understand the spectral property of H_V, the central issue is to analyze the eigen-equation $H_V\psi = E\psi$, where $E \in \mathbb{R}$ is an energy. Define transfer matrices as follows:

$$M_n(E) := \begin{pmatrix} E - V(n) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad T_{m\to n}(E) := \begin{cases} M_n \cdots M_{m+1} & m < n \\ I & m = n \\ (M_m \cdots M_{n+1}))^{-1} & m > n. \end{cases}$$

Write $T_n(E) := T_{0\to n}(E)$ and $\vec{\psi}_n := (\psi_{n+1}, \psi_n)^t$, where v^t is the transpose of v. Then $H_V\psi = E\psi$ if and only if for any integers m, n,

$$\vec{\psi}_n = T_{m\to n}\vec{\psi}_m. \tag{1.1}$$

1.1. Literature and background.

For crystal, the potential V is periodic. Assume the period of V is N, then it is well-known that the spectrum $\sigma(H_V)$ of H_V satisfies

$$\sigma(H_V) = \{E \in \mathbb{R} : |\mathrm{tr}(T_N(E))| \le 2\},$$

which is made of finitely many intervals. The spectral measure of H_V is absolutely continuous. See for example [17, 58]. From fractal geometry point of view, the spectral measure has Hausdorff dimension 1. In particular, $T_N(E)$ is elliptic for any $E \in \sigma(H_V)$ with $|\mathrm{tr}(T_N(E))| < 2$. As a consequence, there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$1 \leq \|T_n(E)\| \leq C \tag{1.2}$$

and for any solution ψ of $H_V\psi = E\psi$, any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$C^{-1}\|\vec{\psi}_0\| \leq \|\vec{\psi}_n\| \leq C\|\vec{\psi}_0\|. \tag{1.3}$$

In this case, E is called an *extended* state.

In order to study crystal with impurities, Anderson [1] proposed the following model: assume $\{V_\omega(n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are i.i.d. random variables. For this model, the typical spectral picture is as follows. Assume φ is the probability distribution of $V_\omega(0)$, then almost surely

$$\sigma(H_\omega) = \mathrm{supp}(\varphi) + [-2, 2].$$

Thus the spectrum still has a band structure. On the other hand, almost surely, the spectral measure of H_ω is pure point; the set of eigenvalues $\{E^{\omega,i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in $\sigma(H_\omega)$; the eigenfunctions $\{\psi^{\omega,i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ form a basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$; each $\psi^{\omega,i}$ decays exponentially: there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ small,

$$e^{-(\gamma+\epsilon)|n|} \lesssim \|\vec{\psi}^{\omega,i}_n\| \lesssim e^{-(\gamma-\epsilon)|n|}. \tag{1.4}$$

If ϕ is another solution of the eigen-equation and independent with $\psi^{\omega,i}$, then

$$e^{(\gamma-\epsilon)|n|} \lesssim \|\vec{\phi}_n\| \lesssim e^{(\gamma+\epsilon)|n|}. \tag{1.5}$$

Moreover, the following estimation on the norms of the transfer matrices holds:

$$e^{(\gamma-\epsilon)|n|} \lesssim \|T_n(E^{\omega,i})\| \lesssim e^{(\gamma+\epsilon)|n|}. \tag{1.6}$$

The energy $E^{\omega,i}$ is called a *localized* state. Since the spectral measure is supported on a countable set, it has Hausdorff dimension 0. The above property is known as *Anderson localization*, see for example [32, 51, 54, 15, 43, 16, 24].

The periodic potentials and random potentials lie in two extremes according to the degree of orderness of potentials. In between, there are rich varieties. The most popular potentials which have been extensively studied in the past three decades are the so-called *quasiperiodic* potentials. They all have their roots in physics, see for example [2, 42, 53, 57]. The spectral properties of the related operators exhibit big varieties. Here we focus on two typical classes of potentials. One class consists of analytic potentials, the most famous example is the so-called Almost Mathieu potential defined

by $V^{am}(n) = \cos 2\pi(n\alpha+\theta)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Another class consists of potentials generated by primitive substitutions, the most famous example is the so-called Fibonacci potential, defined by $V^F(n) = \chi_{(\alpha,1]}(n\alpha+\theta \pmod 1)$, where $\alpha = (\sqrt{5}-1)/2$ is the inverse of Golden mean.

The spectrum of Almost Mathieu operator $H_{\lambda V^{am}}$ is well understood now, it is known that the spectrum is a Cantor set (this is the famous Ten Martini problem, see [3]) independent with θ, and has Lebesgue measure

$$|\sigma(H_{\lambda V^{am}})| = |4-2\lambda|$$

(see [44, 37, 8]). For the spectral measure, Aubry and Andre [2] conjectured that for irrational frequency α, the spectral measure of the operator is absolutely continuous when $|\lambda| < 2$, while it is pure point with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions when $|\lambda| > 2$. That is, there exists a metal-insulator transition at $|\lambda| = 2$. It was soon realized that this conjecture is not true for certain frequencies α and phases θ, see [9, 40]. On the other hand, Jitomirskaya [36] established this conjecture for Lebesgue a.e. frequencies and phases. Consequently for such α and θ, the eigenvalues, eigenfunctions and transfer matrices of the corresponding operator have similar properties as (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6). The spectral measure still has Hausdorff dimension 0 since it is pure point. In general, by subordinate theory, Jitomirskaya and Last [39] showed that when $|\lambda| > 2$, for any irrational α and θ, the spectral measure of related operator also has Hausdorff dimension 0, even not necessarily pure point. This means that the spectral measure is still close to pure point in some sense. Recently Avila [7] established the absolute continuity of spectral measure for any α and θ when $|\lambda| < 2$.

Now we turn to the second class. It is known that, if V is defined through a primitive substitution, then the spectrum $\sigma(H_{\lambda V})$ has zero Lebesgue measure for any $\lambda \neq 0$, see [13, 45, 47]. Consequently the spectrum is a Cantor set. Remark that the Fibonacci potential can also be defined through the famous Fibonacci substitution τ: $\tau(a) = ab$ and $\tau(b) = a$(see for example [29] Sect. 5.4), thus $\sigma(H_{\lambda V^F})$ has Lebesgue measure 0. The Hausdorff dimension of $\sigma(H_{\lambda V^F})$ has been extensively studied, see [55, 39, 48, 18, 20, 21], especially the recent work [22]. In particular, the following property is shown in [18]:

$$\lim_{|\lambda|\to\infty} \dim_H \sigma(H_{\lambda V^F}) \ln|\lambda| = \ln(1+\sqrt{2}). \tag{1.7}$$

This implies that $\dim_H \sigma(H_{\lambda V^F}) \to 0$ when $|\lambda| \to \infty$. On the other hand, for any $E \in \sigma(H_{\lambda V^F})$ and any solution ψ of $H_{\lambda V^F}\psi = E\psi$, by [35, 34, 39, 23], there exist $0 < \alpha_1 \le \alpha_2$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$L^{\alpha_1} \lesssim \|\psi\|_L \lesssim L^{\alpha_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_n(E)\| \lesssim |n|^{\beta}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $\|\psi\|_L$ is defined by

$$\|\psi\|_L := \left(\sum_{n=0}^{[L]} |\psi(n)|^2 + (L-[L])|\psi([L]+1)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Remark that (1.8) is different from (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6), where for the formal solution ψ, $\|\psi\|_L$ is either bounded, or increasing exponentially; the norms of transfer matrices also increase exponentially. As a consequence of (1.8), the spectral measure μ is uniformly α-Hölder continuous, where $\alpha = 2\alpha_1/(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)$. This implies that $d_\mu(E) \geq \alpha$ for any $E \in \sigma(H_{\lambda V^F})$(see [23]), thus $\dim_H \mu \geq \alpha$. It is also known that $\dim_H \sigma(H_{\lambda V^F}) < 1$ for any $\lambda \neq 0$ ([14, 22]). Since the spectral measure μ is supported on the spectrum, we have $\dim_H \mu < 1$. This implies that, in (1.8), α_1 is strictly less than α_2. Thus (1.8) is also different from the periodic case, for which, (1.2) implies that for any formal solution ψ, $\|\psi\|_L \sim L^{1/2}$.

Now we consider Thue-Morse potential, which is the potential we will study in this paper. It has been studied by many authors, see [4, 50, 56, 5, 6, 49, 10, 12, 13, 25]. The Thue-Morse potential w is defined as follows: Let ς be the Thue-Morse substitution given by $\varsigma(a) = ab$ and $\varsigma(b) = ba$. Let $u = u_1u_2\cdots := \varsigma^\infty(a)$. For $n \geq 1$, let $w(n) = 1$ if $u_n = a$; let $w(n) = -1$ if $u_n = b$; let $w(1-n) = w(n)$ for $n \geq 1$. The operator $H_{\lambda w}$ is called the *Thue-Morse Hamiltonian*. Since ς is primitive, $\sigma(H_{\lambda w})$ has Lebesgue measure 0 when $\lambda \neq 0$. On the other hand, it is shown in [33, 19] that the operator has no eigenvalues, consequently the spectral measure is purely singular continuous. Recently it was shown in [46] that the Hausdorff dimension of $\sigma(H_{\lambda w})$ has an absolute positive lower bound for any λ, which form a big contrast with (1.7).

In this work, we concentrate on three subsets of the spectrum $\sigma(H_{\lambda w})$ and study some finer spectral properties of $H_{\lambda w}$. More precisely, we study the behavior of the transfer matrices and eigenfunctions for those energies, we also study the local dimension of the spectral measure at those energies. From now on, we only consider the Thue-Morse Hamiltonian for $\lambda \neq 0$, thus we simplify the notation $H_{\lambda w}$ to H_λ.

1.2. **Main results.** We start with an estimation on the norm of the transfer matrices for any energy in the spectrum. It is known that(see e.g. [11, 13, 45]), for any potential generated by primitive substitution, the Lyapunov exponent vanishes on the spectrum, and it was implied by their proofs that, there are $c = c(\lambda, E) > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that, for any $n > 0$,

$$\|T_n(E)\| \lesssim e^{cn^\alpha},$$

where in the case of Thue-Morse, $\alpha > 3/4$. Here, we improve the exponent α to $1/2$.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists $c = c(\lambda) > 0$ such that, for any $E \in \sigma(H_\lambda)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|T_{\pm n}(E)\| \leq e^{cn^{1/2}}. \tag{1.9}$$

One may ask, whether $\alpha = 1/2$ is sharp, or equivalently, does there exist some energy such that the norm of related transfer matrices increases at least as $e^{\tilde{c}\sqrt{n}}$ for some positive constant $\tilde{c}$? We will answer this question later. Indeed, we will construct three subsets of the spectrum, such that among each of them, we can get more precise estimations on the norm of the transfer matrices.

Let us give a description on the structure of the spectrum. Define $t_n(E)$ to be the trace of $T_{2^n}(E)$. It is a polynomial of order 2^n and is called the n-th *trace polynomial* related to Thue–Morse Hamiltonian. Define $\sigma_n := \{E \in \mathbb{R} : |t_n(E)| \leq 2\}$. It is shown in [46] that $\{\sigma_n \cup \sigma_{n+1} : n \geq 1\}$ is a decreasing set sequence and

$$\sigma(H_\lambda) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} (\sigma_n \cup \sigma_{n+1}). \tag{1.10}$$

$E \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a *type-I* energy if $t_k(E) = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. $E \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a *type-II* energy if there exists some n_0 depending on E, such that $E \in \sigma_{2n} \setminus \sigma_{2n+1}$ for all $n \geq n_0$. $E \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a *type-III* energy if there exists some n_0 depending on E, such that $E \in \sigma_{2n+1} \setminus \sigma_{2n}$ for all $n \geq n_0$. For $T \in \{I, II, III\}$, define

$$\Sigma_T := \{E \in \mathbb{R} : E \text{ is a type-T energy}\}.$$

It is seen that Σ_I is countable. Moreover, it is shown in [46] that Σ_I is dense in $\sigma(H_\lambda)$.

Proposition 1.2. *For any $E \in \Sigma_I$, there exists a constant $C(E) > 1$ such that for any formal solution ψ of $H_\lambda \psi = E\psi$,*

$$C^{-1}\|\vec{\psi}_0\| \leq \|\vec{\psi}_n\| \leq C\|\vec{\psi}_0\| \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq \|T_n(E)\| \leq C. \tag{1.11}$$

Compare with (1.2) and (1.3), we conclude that E is an extended state for any $E \in \Sigma_I$. Proposition 1.2 follows quite easily from an observation stated in [25], and implicitly proved in [6].

Now we study the other two subsets. By (1.10) and the definitions of Σ_{II} and Σ_{III}, we know that $\Sigma_{II}, \Sigma_{III} \subset \sigma(H_\lambda)$. Of course, apriori Σ_{II} and Σ_{III} may be empty. However we have the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Both Σ_{II} and Σ_{III} are dense in $\sigma(H_\lambda)$ and uncountable.*

As we will see, the proof of the theorem is far from trivial, which involves the detailed analysis of the dynamics of a two-dimensional polynomial map, constructed through the recurrence relation of the trace polynomials.

Next, we obtain the estimations for the norms of transfer matrices for type-II and type-III energies.

Theorem 1.4. *For any $E \in \Sigma_{II} \cup \Sigma_{III}$, there exist constant $\gamma = \gamma(E) > 0$ and absolute constants $c_2 > c_1 > 0$ such that, for n large enough,*

$$e^{c_1\gamma\sqrt{n}} \le \|T_{\pm n}(E)\| \le e^{c_2\gamma\sqrt{n}}. \tag{1.12}$$

Remark 1.1. (i) (1.12) implies that $\|T_{\pm n}(E)\|$ increase at least with the speed $e^{c_1\gamma\sqrt{n}}$ for n large enough, thus the exponent $\alpha = 1/2$ in (1.9) is sharp.

(ii) One may expect that the following limit exists

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log \|T_{\pm n}(E)\|}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

However, it is not the case, as suggested by Remark 5.5 and Remark 6.5.

(iii) It is clear that (1.12) is different from all of (1.2), (1.6) and (1.8). To our best knowledge, this is the first time that energies with (1.12) are presented.

Even the definitions of Σ_{II} and Σ_{III} are quite similar, the related spectral properties are quite different. To state the result, we introduce a new notation. Write $v_\theta := (\cos\theta, -\sin\theta)^t$ for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

For type-II energy, we have the following result:

Theorem 1.5. *For each $E \in \Sigma_{II}$, the equation $H_\lambda\psi = E\psi$ has a unique subordinate solution ψ satisfying the following:*

(i) There exist $\alpha > 0$ and $c \neq 0$ such that $\vec{\psi}_0 = v_{\frac{\eta\pi}{4}}$ with $\eta \in \{+,-\}$ and

$$\begin{cases} \|\vec{\psi}_{\pm n}\| \lesssim n^\alpha, \quad \|\vec{\psi}_{\pm 2^{2n}}\| \lesssim e^{-2^n\gamma}, \\ \vec{\psi}_{2^{2n+1}} = -\eta\vec{\psi}_{-2^{2n+1}} = \pm c\ v_{-\frac{\eta\pi}{4}} + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}). \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

(ii) If ϕ is such that $H_\lambda\phi = E\phi$ and ϕ is independent with ψ, then

$$\|\vec{\phi}_{\pm n}\| \gtrsim e^{\frac{\gamma}{4}\sqrt{n}}. \tag{1.14}$$

Remark 1.2. (i) (1.13) and (1.14) obviously implies that ψ is the unique subordinate solution of the related eigen-equation. (1.13) also reveals another interesting phenomenon: while the solution ψ is sub-exponentially localized along the subsequences $\{2^{2n} : n \ge 1\}$ and $\{-2^{2n} : n \ge 1\}$, it is extended along the subsequences $\{2^{2n+1} : n \ge 1\}$ and $\{-2^{2n+1} : n \ge 1\}$. So ψ is an oscillated solution with polynomial bound.

(ii) Compare with (1.4) and (1.5), it is reasonable to call each $E \in \Sigma_{II}$ a *pseudo-localized* state of H_λ, and call ψ the *pseudo-eigenfunction* related to E. Since Σ_{II} is dense in the spectrum, we may say that H_λ exhibits *pseudo-localization*.

For type-III energy, we have the following result:

Theorem 1.6. *For each $E \in \Sigma_{III}$, the equation $H_\lambda \psi = E\psi$ has a unique right-subordinate solution ψ^r and a unique left-subordinate solution ψ^l satisfying the following:*

(i) there exist $\theta \neq \pm\frac{\pi}{4} + k\pi$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $\vec{\psi}^r_0 = v_\theta$, and

$$\begin{cases} \|\vec{\psi}^r_n\| \lesssim n^\alpha, & \|\vec{\psi}^r_{-n}\| \gtrsim e^{\frac{\gamma}{4}\sqrt{n}}, \\ \|\vec{\psi}^r_{2^{2n+1}}\| \lesssim e^{-2^n\gamma}, & \vec{\psi}^r_{2^{2n}} = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v_{-\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}); \end{cases} \tag{1.15}$$

$\vec{\psi}^l_0 = v_{-\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta}$, *and*

$$\begin{cases} \|\vec{\psi}^l_{-n}\| \lesssim n^\alpha, & \|\vec{\psi}^l_n\| \gtrsim e^{\frac{\gamma}{4}\sqrt{n}}, \\ \|\vec{\psi}^l_{-2^{2n+1}}\| \lesssim e^{-2^n\gamma}, & \vec{\psi}^l_{-2^{2n}} = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v_\theta + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}). \end{cases} \tag{1.16}$$

(ii) If ϕ is such that $H_\lambda \phi = E\phi$ and $\phi \notin \operatorname{span}(\psi^l) \cup \operatorname{span}(\psi^r)$, then

$$\|\vec{\phi}_{\pm n}\| \gtrsim e^{\frac{\gamma}{4}\sqrt{n}}. \tag{1.17}$$

Remark 1.3. (i) Indeed, ψ^l is just a reflection of ψ^r with respect to $1/2$. Since $\theta \neq \pm\frac{\pi}{4} + k\pi$, we have $\vec{\psi}^r_0 \nparallel \vec{\psi}^l_0$, consequently ψ^r and ψ^l are independent and $\{\psi^r, \psi^l\}$ is a basis of the space of the solutions of $H_\lambda \psi = E\psi$.

(ii) (1.15), (1.16) and (1.17) implies that ψ^r is the unique right-subordinate solution and ψ^l is the unique left-subordinate solution. However, in this case, there is no nonzero two-sided subordinate solution. Indeed if ϕ is a global subordinate solution, then ϕ is both a right- and left-subordinate solution, then $\phi = t_1\psi^r = t_2\psi^l$, for some $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Since ψ^l and ψ^r are independent, the only possibility is $t_1 = t_2 = 0$.

(iii) Not like the type-II energy case, (1.15) reveals a more complex phenomenon: while the solution ψ^r is sub-exponentially localized along the subsequence $\{2^{2n+1} : n \geq 1\}$, it is extended along the subsequence $\{2^{2n} : n \geq 1\}$ and sub-exponentially exploring along $\mathbb{Z}^-$. However, ψ^r is still an oscillated solution with polynomial bound on $\mathbb{Z}^+$. For ψ^l we have similar observation.

(iv) Compare with the classical definition of localization, it is still reasonable to call each $E \in \Sigma_{III}$ a *one-sided pseudo-localized* state of H_λ, and call $\psi^r(\psi^l)$ a *right (left) pseudo-eigenfunction* related to E. Since Σ_{III} is dense in the spectrum, we may say that H_λ exhibits *one-sided pseudo-localization*.

We summarize the spectral properties of all the operators mentioned above in the following table, where $\Theta(\gamma)$ means $c\gamma \leq \Theta(\gamma) \leq d\gamma$ for constants $c, d > 0$.

Model & Energy	$\|T_{\pm n}(E)\|$	subordinate $\|\vec{\psi}_{\pm n}\|$	other sol. $\|\vec{\phi}_{\pm n}\|$
Periodic $\sigma(H)$	$\leq C$	no	$\leq C$
Anderson $\sigma_p(H)$	$e^{(\gamma+o(1))n}$	$e^{-(\gamma+o(1))n}$	$e^{(\gamma+o(1))n}$
Fibonacci $\sigma(H)$	$\leq n^\beta$	$\leq n^\beta$	$\leq n^\beta$
Thue-Morse Σ_I	$\leq C$	no	$\leq C$
Thue-Morse Σ_{II}	$e^{\Theta(\gamma)\sqrt{n}}$	$\leq n^\alpha$	$e^{\Theta(\gamma)\sqrt{n}}$
Thue-Morse Σ_{III}	$e^{\Theta(\gamma)\sqrt{n}}$	no	$e^{\Theta(\gamma)\sqrt{n}}$

With these properties in hand, by using the subordinacy theory developed in [38, 39], we can estimate the local dimensions of the spectral measure at these energies.

We introduce several more notations. Given a finite measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$. Fix $x \in \text{supp}\mu$ and $\alpha > 0$. The α-density of μ at x is defined as

$$D_\mu^\alpha(x) := \limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{\mu((x-\epsilon, x+\epsilon))}{(2\epsilon)^\alpha}.$$

The local Hausdorff dimension of μ at x is defined as

$$d_\mu(x) := \liminf_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{\log \mu((x-\epsilon, x+\epsilon))}{\log \epsilon}.$$

$d_\mu(x)$ gives the local Hölder exponent of the distribution of μ at x. The relation of these two quantities is

$$d_\mu(x) = \sup\{\alpha : D_\mu^\alpha(x) = 0\} = \inf\{\alpha : D_\mu^\alpha(x) = \infty\}. \tag{1.18}$$

Now assume μ is the spectral measure of H_λ, we study the local Hausdorff dimension of μ at energy E of type-I, II and III. We divide the set Σ_{III} further into two parts:

$$\Sigma_{III,1} := \{E \in \Sigma_{III} : \vec{\psi}_0^r = v_0 \text{ or } v_{\frac{\pi}{2}}\}; \quad \Sigma_{III,2} = \Sigma_{III} \setminus \Sigma_{III,1}.$$

Theorem 1.7. *We have*

$$\begin{cases} d_\mu(E) \geq 1 & E \in \Sigma_I, \\ d_\mu(E) = 0 & E \in \Sigma_{II}, \\ d_\mu(E) \geq 2 & E \in \Sigma_{III,1}, \\ d_\mu(E) \geq 1 & E \in \Sigma_{III,2}. \end{cases} \tag{1.19}$$

Consequently we have

$$\mu(\Sigma_I) = 0; \quad \dim_H \Sigma_{II} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\Sigma_{III,1}) = 0.$$

Remark 1.4. (i) Loosely speaking, $\mu|_{\Sigma_I}$ is reminiscent of the spectral measure of periodic Hamiltonian, while $\mu|_{\Sigma_{II}}$ is quite close to the spectral measure of almost Mathieu operator for $|\lambda| > 2$, as studied in [39]. The behavior of $\mu|_{\Sigma_{III}}$ is a bit strange, because if one consider the half-line operator, the spectral measure should be as singular as $\mu|_{\Sigma_{II}}$, however for the whole line

operator, the spectral measure is very smooth in the sense that, the local Hölder exponent is very large(can be ≥ 2). It is the same phenomenon as explained in [39](see the remark after Lemma 4 [39]).

(ii) Unfortunately, we do not know which subset essentially supports the spectral measure. It is left as an interesting and challenging problem.

(iii) From fractal geometry point of view, (1.19) suggests that μ is a multifractal measure, another interesting problem is to estimate the local dimensions for all the other energies in the spectrum.

(iv) $\Sigma_{III,1}$ contains at most two energies. Indeed, $\Sigma_{III,1}$ is empty for $|\lambda| > 1$; on the other hand, there exists a uncountable subset $\Gamma \subset [-1, 1]$, such that $\Sigma_{III,1} = \{\lambda, -\lambda\}$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$. See Lemma 7.3 for detail. For the coupling parameter $\lambda \in \Gamma$, the energies $\pm\lambda$ may have some physical implication due to their strange local dimensions.

The following table offers a summary on these three types of energies.

Type	Type I	Type II	Type III	
			III-1	III-2
Existence	Yes	Yes	Sometimes Yes	Yes
Ordinality	Countable	Uncountable	0 or 2	Uncountable
Denseness	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
$d_\mu(\cdot)$	≥ 1	0	≥ 2	≥ 1

1.3. **Ideas of the proof.** In the following, we explain several key points in our proof.

At first we explain the proof of the existence and denseness of Σ_{II} and Σ_{III}. We take Σ_{III} as example. Based on the recurrence relation of trace polynomials(see (2.5)), we define a mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ as

$$f(x, y) = (x^2(y - 2) + 2, x^2y^2(y - 2) + 2).$$

Then for any $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $n \geq 1$,

$$f(t_n(E), t_{n+1}(E)) = (t_{n+2}(E), t_{n+3}(E)).$$

Write $D := [-2, 2] \times (-\infty, -2)$. In view of the definition of type-III energies and a simple fact deduced from the recurrence relation(see Lemma 2.1 (iii)), we have

$$\Sigma_{III} = \{E \in \mathbb{R} : f^n(t_{2k-1}(E), t_{2k}(E)) \in D, \quad \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \geq 0\}, \tag{1.20}$$

If we write

$$\varphi_k(E) := (t_k(E), t_{k+1}(E)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda(D) := \bigcap_{n\geq 0} f^{-n}(D),$$

we can rewrite (1.20) as

$$\Sigma_{III} = \bigcup_{k \text{ odd}} \{E : \varphi_k(E) \in \Lambda(D)\}.$$

Thus Σ_{III} is nonempty if the curve $\varphi_k(\mathbb{R})$ intersects $\Lambda(D)$ for some odd k. It is dense in the spectrum if for any $E \in \sigma(H_\lambda)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, the sub-curve $\varphi_k([E-\epsilon, E+\epsilon])$ intersects $\Lambda(D)$ for some odd k. Hence it is crucial to understand $\Lambda(D)$. However, it turns out that this set is very hard to deal with, because D is not matched with the dynamical properties of f. On the other hand, observe that the parabola $y = x^2 - 2$ is invariant under f and $(-1,-1)$ is a fixed point of f, then a more suitable starting domain is

$$S = \{(x,y) : -1 \le x \le 1;\ y \le x^2 - 2\}.$$



FIGURE 1. Proof of the denseness of Σ_{III}

Indeed, by a bit extra work, we can show that

$$\Sigma_{III} = \bigcup_{k \text{ odd}} \{E : \varphi_k(E) \in \Lambda(S)\}.$$

The good point is that the structure of $\Lambda(S)$ is relatively simple. In fact, f has four inverse branches F_0, F_1, F_2, F_3 with

$$F_0(S), F_1(S) \subset S, \quad F_0(S) \cap F_1(S) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(S) \cap S = F_3(S) \cap S = \emptyset.$$

Thus

$$\Lambda(S) = \bigcap_{n \ge 0} f^{-n}(S) = \bigcap_{n \ge 1} \bigcup_{w_i \in \{0,1\}} F_{w_1} \circ \cdots \circ F_{w_n}(S).$$

$\Lambda(S)$ is kind of repeller and has a "lamination" structure. Moreover, each "fiber" $\Lambda_\omega := \bigcap_n F_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ F_{\omega_n}(S)$ is rooted in the parabola $y = x^2 - 2$ and goes down to $-\infty$. Now, due to the denseness of Σ_I, for each $E \in \sigma(H_\lambda)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we can find odd k large enough and a subinterval $[E_1, E_2] \subset [E - \epsilon, E + \epsilon]$, such that the sub-curve $\varphi_k([E_1, E_2])$ stays in S and touches the left and right boundaries of S. As a result, this sub-curve must intersect with each fiber Λ_ω, which finishes the proof(see Figure 1).

Next, we explain how to get the lower bound of the norms of the transfer matrices for type-II and type-III energies. We take type-II energy as example. Fix $E \in \Sigma_{II}$, due to the combinatorial nature of Thue-Morse potential, it is natural to consider firstly the norms of

$$A_n = T_{2^n} \quad \text{and } B_n = T_{2^n \to 2^{n+1}}.$$

Define four special matrices as follows:

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},\ W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.21}$$

A key observation in [27] is that,

$$A_{2n-1} - B_{2n-1} = \mu_n U \tag{1.22}$$

for some $\mu_n \in \mathbb{R}$. By this observation, we obtain

$$A_{2n} - B_{2n} = \nu_n V + \omega_n W \tag{1.23}$$

for some $\nu_n, \omega_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Combine (1.22) with the recurrence relations of A_n and B_n, we get

$$A_{2n+1} = t_{2n} t_{2n-1} A_{2n-1} - t_{2n-1} \mu_n U + (1 - t_{2n-1}^2) I,$$

where t_n is the n-th trace polynomial. By studying the recurrence relation of t_n(see (2.4) and (2.5)), we show that there is $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$|t_{2n-1}| \sim e^{2^n \gamma}, \quad |t_{2n}| \sim e^{-2^n \gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mu_n| \sim e^{2^n \gamma}.$$

By more precise estimations on these three quantities, we can show that $\{t_{2n-1}^{-1} A_{2n-1} : n \geq 1\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and moreover

$$\frac{A_{2n-1}}{t_{2n-1}} = \frac{I \pm U}{2} + O(e^{-2^{n-1}\gamma}). \tag{1.24}$$

Similarly but more complicatedly, by using (1.23), we can show that

$$t_{2n} A_{2n} = \pm c(V \mp W) + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}), \tag{1.25}$$

where c is a nonzero constant. These two equations suggest that

$$\|A_{2n}\|, \|A_{2n-1}\| \sim e^{2^n \gamma}.$$

Now an interpolation argument shows that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\|T_n\| \gtrsim e^{c\gamma\sqrt{n}}$. Figure 2 is a simulation on the norms of the transfer matrices for some $E \in \Sigma_{II}$, which matches very well with the theoretical prediction (1.12).



FIGURE 2. $\log\|T_n(E)\|, n = 1, \cdots, 4096$, where $\lambda = 1, E = 1.571613\cdots$

Now we explain how to find the subordinate solution at $\mathbb{Z}^+$. By (1.1), we only need to find the suitable initial condition $\vec{\psi}_0$ such that the norm of $\vec{\psi}_n = T_n\vec{\psi}_0$ is as small as possible. In the language of dynamical system, we should find the stable direction of $\{T_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

If the constants c_1 and c_2 in Theorem 1.4 satisfy $c_2 < 2c_1$, then we could apply Oseledets' argument to find a stable direction s such that $\|T_n s\| \lesssim e^{-c\gamma\sqrt{n}}$, and for any vector v that is linear independent with s, $\|T_n v\| \gtrsim e^{c\gamma\sqrt{n}}$. However, comparing with Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6, it is impossible to choose c_1, c_2 such that $c_2 < 2c_1$ in our case. On the other hand, one can always apply Oseledets' argument to find a "pre"-stable direction s such that for any vector v that is linear independent with s,

$$\|T_n v\| \gtrsim e^{c\gamma\sqrt{n}}. \tag{1.26}$$

This s should be the initial condition $\vec{\psi}_0$ we are looking for, but this approach say nothing about $\|T_n s\|$ itself.

Instead of considering the whole sequence $\{T_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we treat the subsequence $\{A_n = T_{2^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ firstly. The advantage is that, in this case we know the exact asymptotic behaviors of $\|A_n\|$ due to (1.24) and (1.25). But here there is a further subtlety. It turns out there is no stable direction for $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and we need to consider a further subsequence. It is at this point that we need to treat type-II and type-III energies separately.

At first suppose $E \in \Sigma_{II}$. By Oseledets' argument, there exists a stable direction $\tilde{s}$ for $\{A_{2n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\|A_{2n}\tilde{s}\| \lesssim e^{-2^n\gamma}$. In view of (1.26), $s = \tilde{s}$. Moreover, by (1.25), s must be parallel to $v_{\pm\pi/4}$. By some extra work, we can show that $\|A_{2n+1}s\| \sim 1$ and $\|T_n s\| \le n^\alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and any n. As a result, we find the subordinate solution at $\mathbb{Z}^+$.

Next suppose $E \in \Sigma_{III}$. Again by Oseledets' argument, there exists a stable direction $\hat{s}$ for $\{A_{2n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\|A_{2n+1}\hat{s}\| \lesssim e^{-2^n\gamma}$. Still by (1.26), $s = \hat{s}$. However, s is never parallel to $v_{\pm\pi/4}$ in this case. Indeed, we can show a formula analogous to (1.24):

$$\frac{A_{2n}}{t_{2n}} = \frac{1}{2}(I \pm \sec\theta\ V \pm \tan\theta\ W) + O(e^{-2^{n-1}\gamma}),$$

where $\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ is determined by $\sin\theta = (E^2 - \lambda^2)/2E$. By a simple computation, we get $s \parallel v_{-\theta/2}$ or $v_{(\theta-\pi)/2}$. Thus $s \nparallel v_{\pm\pi/4}$. We can still show that $\|A_{2n}s\| \sim 1$ and $\|T_n s\| \le n^\alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and any n, so we also find a subordinate solution at $\mathbb{Z}^+$ for type-III energy.

Let us check whether this one-sided subordinate solution extends to a global one. From the definition, it is seen that the Thue-Morse potential is symmetric with respect to $1/2$. If E is a type-II energy, then $\vec{\psi}_0 = (\psi_1, \psi_0) = s \parallel v_{\pm\pi/4}$, which is either symmetric or anti-symmetric with respect to $1/2$. Consequently, ψ extends to a global subordinate solution. As a comparison, if E is a type-III energy, then $\vec{\psi}_0 \nparallel v_{\pm\pi/4}$, hence ψ never extends to a global subordinate solution.

Finally, we say some words on notations.

By $a_n \lesssim b_n$, we mean there exists $C > 0$ such that, for any $n > 0$, $a_n \le Cb_n$. The notation $\gtrsim$ can be defined analogously. By $a_n \sim b_n$, we mean $a_n \lesssim b_n$ and $a_n \gtrsim b_n$.

We denote by $\|x\|$ the 2-norm of $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. For any matrix A of order 2,

$$\|A\| := \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|.$$

Note that if $\det A = 1$, then $\|A\| = \|A^{-1}\| \ge 1$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give basic definitions and some useful facts related to Thue-Morse potential and the first structure of the transfer matrices. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2, which are relatively easy. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.4 for type-II energy and Theorem 1.5. In Section 6, we prove Theorem 1.4 for type-III energy and Theorem 1.6. In Section 7, we prove Theorem 1.7. Finally, in the Appendix, we give an alternative proof of the fact that H_λ has no point spectrum, which is based on our new understanding on the operator.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give the basic definitions and collect some basic facts related to trace polynomials. We also give the first structure of the transfer matrices.

2.1. **Basic definitions.**

Define $\bar{a} := b$ and $\bar{b} := a$. For $a_1 \cdots a_n \in \{a, b\}^n$, define $\overline{a_1 \cdots a_n} := \bar{a}_1 \cdots \bar{a}_n$. Recall that $\varsigma^\infty(a) = u = u_1 u_2 \cdots$ is the Thue-Morse sequence. It has the following symmetric property:

$$\overline{u_{2^n+1} \cdots u_{2^{n+1}}} = u_1 \cdots u_{2^n}; \quad u_1 \cdots u_{2^{2n}} = u_{2^{2n}} \cdots u_1, \quad \forall n > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

We extend u to a two-sided Thue-Morse sequence as follows

$$u_{1-n} = u_n, \quad \forall n > 0. \tag{2.2}$$

By this definition, u is symmetric with respect to $1/2$.

Denote the free group generated by a, b as $\mathrm{FG}(a, b)$. Given $\lambda, E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, define a homomorphism $\varrho : \mathrm{FG}(a, b) \to \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ as

$$\varrho(a) = \begin{bmatrix} E - \lambda & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varrho(b) = \begin{bmatrix} E + \lambda & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\varrho(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \varrho(a_n) \cdots \varrho(a_1)$. The transfer matrix $T_{m \to n}(E)$ can be rewritten as

$$T_{m \to n}(E) = \begin{cases} \varrho(u_{m+1} \cdots u_n) & m < n \\ I & m = n \\ (\varrho(u_{n+1} \cdots u_m))^{-1} & m > n \end{cases}$$

It is basic that $T_{m \to n}(E)$ has determinant 1.

Define $\bar{\varrho}(x) = \varrho(\bar{x})$ for $x = a$ and b and extend the definition of $\bar{\varrho}$ to finite word. Define

$$\overline{T}_{m \to n}(E) := \begin{cases} \bar{\varrho}(u_{m+1} \cdots u_n) & m < n \\ I & m = n \\ (\bar{\varrho}(u_{n+1} \cdots u_m))^{-1} & m > n \end{cases}$$

For $n \geq 0$, define

$$A_n(E) := \varrho(\varsigma^n(a)) = T_{2^n}(E) \quad \text{and} \quad B_n(E) := \varrho(\varsigma^n(b)) = \overline{T}_{2^n}(E).$$

Then for $n \geq 0$, we have

$$A_{n+1}(E) = B_n(E) A_n(E), \quad B_{n+1}(E) = A_n(E) B_n(E). \tag{2.3}$$

As a consequence, $\mathrm{tr}(A_n(E)) = \mathrm{tr}(B_n(E))$ for $n \geq 1$(where $\mathrm{tr}(A)$ denotes the trace of the matrix A). Recall that we have defined $t_n(E) = \mathrm{tr}(T_{2^n}(E)) = \mathrm{tr}(A_n(E))$. It is shown in [6, 10] that

$$t_1(E) = E^2 - \lambda^2 - 2, \quad t_2(E) = (E^2 - \lambda^2)^2 - 4E^2 + 2, \tag{2.4}$$

and for $n \geq 2$,

$$t_{n+1}(E) = t_{n-1}^2(E)(t_n(E) - 2) + 2. \tag{2.5}$$

$\{t_n : n \geq 1\}$ is the family of trace polynomials related to the Thue-Morse Hamiltonian.

The follow lemma collects basic facts about the trace polynomials.

Lemma 2.1. *(i) If $t_n(E) = 0$, then $t_k(E) = 2$ for any $k \geq n+2$. Consequently $\Sigma_I \cap (\Sigma_{II} \cup \Sigma_{III}) = \emptyset$.*

(ii) If $n \geq 2$ and $t_n(E) = 2$, then $t_k(E) = 2$ for any $k \geq n$.

(iii) If $E \in \sigma(H_\lambda)$, $t_{n-1}(E) \neq 0$ and $|t_n(E)| > 2$ for some $n > 1$, then $t_n(E) < -2$.

(iv) $0 \notin \sigma(H_\lambda)$.

Proof. (i) follows directly from (2.5) and the definitions of Σ_I, Σ_{II} and Σ_{III}.

(ii) follows directly from (2.5).

By (2.5), if $t_n(E) > 2$, then $t_{n+1}(E) = t_{n-1}^2(E)(t_n(E) - 2) + 2 > 2$, which contradicts with (1.10). Thus (iii) holds.

By (2.4), we have $t_1(0) = -\lambda^2 - 2 < -2$ and $t_2(0) = 2 + \lambda^4 > 2$. Thus $0 \notin \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$. By (1.10), $0 \notin \sigma(H_\lambda)$. □

We usually drop E from the notations when there is no confusion. For example, we simplify $A_n(E)$, $T_n(E)$, $t_n(E)$ to A_n, T_n, t_n.

2.2. Structures of A_n and B_n.

It is a simple observation that, for any 2×2 matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with determinant 1,

$$UAU = A^{-1} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad a_{12} + a_{21} = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where U is defined in (1.21). As a consequence, we have

Lemma 2.2 ([27, 19])**.** *(i) $U\varrho(a)U = \varrho^{-1}(a)$ and $U\varrho(b)U = \varrho^{-1}(b)$.*

(ii) More generally, for a word $a_1 \cdots a_n \in \{a, b\}^n$,

$$U\varrho(a_1 \cdots a_n)U = \varrho^{-1}(a_n \cdots a_1). \tag{2.7}$$

(iii) In particular, for any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$T_{-n}(E) = UT_n(E)U. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow directly from (2.6) and the definition of ϱ.

By (ii) and the definition of $T_n(E)$,

$$UT_n(E)U = U\varrho(u_1 \cdots u_n)U = \varrho^{-1}(u_n \cdots u_1).$$

On the other hand, by (2.2),

$$T_{-n}(E) = (T_{-n\to 0}(E))^{-1} = \varrho^{-1}(u_{1-n} \cdots u_0) = \varrho^{-1}(u_n \cdots u_1).$$

Thus (iii) holds. □

Remark 2.3. By (2.1) and Lemma 2.2 (ii), for any $n > 0$, we have $UA_{2n}U = A_{2n}^{-1}$ and $UB_{2n}U = B_{2n}^{-1}$. By (2.6), for any $n \geq 0$, if we write

$$A_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

then $a_{12} = -a_{21}$ and $b_{12} = -b_{21}$. See also [27, 19].

By (2.3) and direct computation, for any $n > 0$, if we write

$$A_{2n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a'_{11} & a'_{12} \\ a'_{21} & a'_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{2n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} b'_{11} & b'_{12} \\ b'_{21} & b'_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

then $a'_{11} = b'_{11},\ a'_{12} = -b'_{21},\ a'_{21} = -b'_{12},\ a'_{22} = b'_{22}$.

Lemma 2.4. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n+2} &= t_n(t_{n+1}-1)A_n + t_nB_n + (1-t_n^2)I, \\ B_{n+2} &= t_n(t_{n+1}-1)B_n + t_nA_n + (1-t_n^2)I, \\ B_n^2A_n &= t_nA_{n+1} - A_n, \quad A_n^2B_n = t_nB_{n+1} - B_n. \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows from Cayley-Hamilton Theorem for matrix A of order 2, i.e. $A^2 - (\mathrm{tr}A)A + (\det A)I = 0$.

The following relation between A_{2n-1} and B_{2n-1} is observed in [27]:

Lemma 2.5. [27] *There exists a real sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that*

$$A_{2n-1} - B_{2n-1} = \mu_n U \quad \textit{and} \quad t_{2n} = t_{2n-1}^2 - \mu_n^2 - 2. \tag{2.9}$$

We observe the following parallel relation between A_{2n} and B_{2n} :

Lemma 2.6. *There exist two real sequences $\{\nu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that*

$$A_{2n} - B_{2n} = \nu_n V + \omega_n W \quad \textit{and} \quad t_{2n+1} = t_{2n}^2 - \nu_n^2 + \omega_n^2 - 2, \tag{2.10}$$

where V and W are defined in (1.21).

Proof. Since $A_{2n-1}U - UA_{2n-1}$ is a linear combination of V and W, by (2.9), there exist $\nu_n, \omega_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2n} - B_{2n} &= B_{2n-1}A_{2n-1} - A_{2n-1}B_{2n-1} \\ &= (A_{2n-1} - \mu_n U)A_{2n-1} - A_{2n-1}(A_{2n-1} - \mu_n U) \\ &= \mu_n(A_{2n-1}U - UA_{2n-1}) \\ &= \nu_n V + \omega_n W. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $\det(\nu_n V + \omega_n W) = \omega_n^2 - \nu_n^2$ and $\mathrm{tr}(\nu_n V + \omega_n W) = 0$, by Cayley-Hamilton Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} -(\omega_n^2 - \nu_n^2)I &= (A_{2n} - B_{2n})^2 \\ &= A_{2n}^2 + B_{2n}^2 - A_{2n}B_{2n} - B_{2n}A_{2n} \\ &= t_{2n}A_{2n} + t_{2n}B_{2n} - A_{2n+1} - B_{2n+1} - 2I. \end{aligned}$$

Taking trace on both sides, we get the second equality of the lemma. □

Moreover, μ_n, ν_n and ω_n satisfy the following recurrence relations:

Lemma 2.7. *The following three recurrence relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{n+1} &= (t_{2n} - 2)t_{2n-1}\ \mu_n, \\ \nu_{n+1} &= (t_{2n+1} - 2)t_{2n}\ \nu_n, \\ \omega_{n+1} &= (t_{2n+1} - 2)t_{2n}\ \omega_n \end{aligned}$$

with initial conditions

$$\mu_1 = -2\lambda; \quad \nu_1 = 4\lambda E \quad \textit{and} \quad \omega_1 = 2\lambda(E^2 - \lambda^2). \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. At first, by direct computation, we get (2.11).

By Lemma 2.4 and (2.9) we have

$$\begin{cases} A_{2n+1} &= t_{2n}t_{2n-1}A_{2n-1} - t_{2n-1}\mu_n U + (1-t_{2n-1}^2)I, \\ B_{2n+1} &= t_{2n}t_{2n-1}B_{2n-1} + t_{2n-1}\mu_n U + (1-t_{2n-1}^2)I. \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

Subtracting the two equations, we get the first recurrence relation.

By Lemma 2.4 and (2.10) we have

$$\begin{cases} A_{2n+2} &= t_{2n}t_{2n+1}A_{2n} - t_{2n}\nu_n V - t_{2n}\omega_n W + (1-t_{2n}^2)I, \\ B_{2n+2} &= t_{2n}t_{2n+1}B_{2n} + t_{2n}\nu_n V + t_{2n}\omega_n W + (1-t_{2n}^2)I. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Subtracting the two equations, we get the second and the third recurrence relations. □

Finally we need the following basic fact to estimate the norms of A_n and B_n, the proof of which is elementary and will be omitted.

Lemma 2.8. *Assume $\gamma, c, d > 0$ and $\{a_n : n \geq 1\}$ is a nonnegative sequence. If either $a_{n+1} \leq c + d\cdot e^{-2^n\gamma} a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $a_{n+1} \leq (1 + c\cdot e^{-2^n\gamma})a_n + d\cdot e^{-2^n\gamma}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{a_n : n \geq 1\}$ is bounded.*

3. Upper bounds for $\|T_{\pm n}(E)\|$; type-I energy

In this section, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2, which are relatively easy.

3.1. Uniform upper bound for $\|T_{\pm n}(E)\|$.

Note that for a matrix A of order 2, we have $|\mathrm{tr}(A)| \leq 2\|A\|$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Remark 2.3, $\|A_{2n-1}\| = \|B_{2n-1}\|$ for any $n \geq 1$.

Claim: $\|A_{2n+1}\| \leq 12\|A_{2n-1}\|^2$.

$\lhd$ Since $t_n = \mathrm{tr}A_n = \mathrm{tr}B_n$ for any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$|t_n| \leq 2\min\{\|A_n\|,\ \|B_n\|\}. \tag{3.1}$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$A_{2n+1} = t_{2n-1}(t_{2n} - 1)A_{2n-1} + t_{2n-1}B_{2n-1} + (1 - t_{2n-1}^2)I.$$

If $|t_{2n}| \leq 2$, then by using $|t_{2n-1}| \leq 2\|A_{2n-1}\|$, we have

$$\|A_{2n+1}\| \leq 12\|A_{2n-1}\|^2.$$

If $|t_{2n}| > 2$ and $t_{2n-1} = 0$, then $A_{2n+1} = I$. Thus the claim obviously holds since $\|A_{2n-1}\| \geq 1$.

If $|t_{2n}| > 2$ and $t_{2n-1} \neq 0$, then $t_{2n} < -2$ by Lemma 2.1 (iii). Consequently $|t_{2n+1}|,\ |t_{2n-1}| \leq 2$ by (1.10). Hence by (2.5),

$$|t_{2n-1}| = \sqrt{\frac{2 - t_{2n+1}}{2 - t_{2n}}} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{|t_{2n}|}}.$$

On the other hand, $|t_{2n}| \leq 2\|A_{2n}\| \leq 2\|A_{2n-1}\|\|B_{2n-1}\| = 2\|A_{2n-1}\|^2$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_{2n+1}\| &\leq |t_{2n-1}t_{2n}|\|A_{2n-1}\| + 2|t_{2n-1}|\|A_{2n-1}\| + |1 - t_{2n-1}^2| \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{|t_{2n}|}\|A_{2n-1}\| + 8\|A_{2n-1}\| \leq 12\|A_{2n-1}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the claim holds. ▷

It is seen that $|E| \leq |\lambda| + 2$ for any $E \in \sigma(H_\lambda)$. Thus

$$\|A_1(E)\| = \|\varrho(ab)\| \leq \|\varrho(a)\|\|\varrho(b)\| \leq 4(2 + |\lambda|)^2.$$

Define $c_1 = 2(\ln 2 + \ln(2 + |\lambda|)$, define $c_n = c_{n-1} + 2^{-n+1} \ln 12$ for any $n > 1$, it is direct to show by induction that

$$\|A_{2n-1}\| \leq e^{c_n 2^{n-1}}.$$

Then for any $n > 0$,

$$\max\{\|A_{2n}\|, \|B_{2n}\|\} \leq \|A_{2n-1}\|^2 \leq e^{c_n 2^n}.$$

Let $c = c_1 + \ln 12$. For any $n > 0$, we get

$$\max\{\|A_n\|, \|B_n\|\} \leq e^{c2^{n/2}}.$$

For any $n > 0$, let $k = \lfloor \log n / \log 2 \rfloor$, then $n = \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j 2^j$ with $a_j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $a_k = 1$. Define $n_0 = 0$; for any $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, define $n_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_{k-j} 2^{k-j}$. Then $T_{n_j \to n_{j+1}} = A_{k-j}$ or B_{k-j} if $a_{k-j} = 1$. And hence,

$$\|T_n\| \leq \prod_{j=0}^{k} \|T_{n_j \to n_{j+1}}\| \leq \prod_{j=0}^{k} e^{a_j c 2^{j/2}} \leq e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{\sqrt{2}-1}(2^k)^{1/2}} \leq e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{\sqrt{2}-1} n^{1/2}}.$$

By Lemma 2.2 (iii) and the fact that U is unitary, we have $\|T_{-n}\| = \|T_n\|$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus the result follows. □

Compare with the upper bound of the transfer matrices, it is much more difficult to obtain a non-trivial lower bound, as we will see in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

3.2. **Type-I energy.**

The following simple fact is observed in [6, 25], which also follows directly from Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 3.1. *If $t_k(E) = 0$ for some k, then $A_{k+2} = B_{k+2} = I$.*

Proof of Proposition 1.2. By Lemma 2.2 (iii), we only need to prove the result for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $E \in \Sigma_I$ and assume $t_K(E) = 0$, then $A_{K+2} = B_{K+2} = I$ by the above lemma. Define

$$C := \max\{\|T_l(E)\|, \|\overline{T}_l(E)\| : 1 \le l \le 2^{K+2}\}.$$

Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $n = m2^{K+2} + l$, with $0 \le l < 2^{K+2}$. Then $T_n(E) = T_l(E)$ or $\overline{T}_l(E)$, since $A_{K+2} = B_{K+2} = I$. Thus (1.11) follows. □

4. Σ_{II} and Σ_{III} are dense and uncountable

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.3, i.e., both Σ_{II} and Σ_{III} are dense in $\sigma(H_\lambda)$ and uncountable.

Recall that in the Introduction we have defined a map

$$f(x, y) = (x^2(y-2) + 2, x^2y^2(y-2) + 2)$$

and a strip

$$S = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| \le 1,\ y \le x^2 - 2\}.$$

As we have explained in the Introduction, it is crucial to study the behavior of the inverse branches of f on the strip S.

4.1. Dynamical properties of f.

Write $(x_1, y_1) = f(x, y)$, the following relations will be frequently used later:

$$y_1 - 2 = x^2y^2(y-2), \quad y_1 - x_1^2 + 2 = (y - x^2 + 2)(y-2)^2x^2. \tag{4.1}$$

They follow directly from the definition of f.

There are four inverse branches for f, which are denoted as $F_{\epsilon,\eta}$ with $\epsilon, \eta \in \{+, -\}$,

$$F_{\epsilon,\eta}(x, y) = \left(\epsilon \sqrt{\frac{2-x}{2 - \eta\sqrt{\frac{2-y}{2-x}}}}, \eta\sqrt{\frac{2-y}{2-x}} \right).$$

The corresponding domains are,

$$Dom(F_{\pm,-}) = \{(x, y) : x < 2, y < 2\}, \quad Dom(F_{\pm,+}) = \mathcal{U},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} \ = \ & \{(x, y) : x < 2, y < 2, y - 4x + 6 > 0\} \bigcup \\ & \{(x, y) : x > 2, y > 2, y - 4x + 6 > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$F_0 = F_{-,-}, \quad F_1 = F_{+,-}, \quad F_2 = F_{-,+} \quad \text{and} \quad F_3 = F_{+,+}.$$

We have the following basic lemma(see Figure 1):

Lemma 4.1. *$F_2(\mathcal{U}) \cap S = F_3(\mathcal{U}) \cap S = \emptyset$. $F_0(S), F_1(S) \subset S$ and $F_0(S) \cap F_1(S) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Since $S \subset [-1,1] \times (-\infty, -1]$, the first equation follows easily.

Next we show $F_1(S) \subset S$. Notice that $S \subset Dom(F_1)$. Take any $(x,y) \in S$, denote $F_1(x,y) = (\hat{x}, \hat{y})$, then

$$-\hat{y} = \sqrt{\frac{2-y}{2-x}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{4-x^2}{2-x}} = \sqrt{2+x} \geq 1 \geq |x|.$$

Thus we get

$$0 < \hat{x} = \sqrt{\frac{2-x}{2-\hat{y}}} \leq 1.$$

On the other hand, by direct computation,

$$\hat{y} - \hat{x}^2 + 2 = \frac{y - x^2 + 2}{(2 + \sqrt{\frac{2-y}{2-x}})(2-x)} \leq 0,$$

so we get $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \in S$. Thus $F_1(S) \subset S \cap \{(x,y) : x > 0\}$.

Since S is symmetric w.r.t. y axis and $F_0(S)$ is the reflection of $F_1(S)$ w.r.t. y axis, we get $F_0(S) \subset S \cap \{(x,y) : x < 0\}$. So $F_0(S) \cap F_1(S) = \emptyset$. □

Recall that we have defined

$$\Lambda(S) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(S).$$

Define the parabola

$$\mathscr{P} := \{(x,y) : y = x^2 - 2\}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Write $(x_k, y_k) := f^k(x,y)$ for any $k \geq 0$. If $(x,y) \in \Lambda(S) \setminus \mathscr{P}$, then*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = 0, \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} y_k = -\infty.$$

Proof. For any $k \geq 0$, write $a_k = x_k^2 - y_k - 2$. Since

$$(x,y) \in \Lambda(S) \setminus \mathscr{P} \subset S \setminus \mathscr{P},$$

we have $a_0 > 0$. By the definition of f, we have $x_k = x_{k-1}^2(y_{k-1} - 2) + 2$. Then by (4.1),

$$a_k = a_{k-1}(2 - y_{k-1})^2 x_{k-1}^2 = a_{k-1}(2 - y_{k-1})(2 - x_k).$$

Since $(x,y) \in \Lambda(S)$, we have $(x_k, y_k) = f^k(x,y) \in S$. Thus $|x_k| \leq 1$ and $y_k \leq -1$. Hence $a_k \geq 3^k a_0$.

Since $y_k = x_k^2 - 2 - a_k$ and $|x_k| \leq 1$, we have $\lim_{k\to\infty} y_k = -\infty$.

Since $x_k^2 = (2 - x_{k+1})/(2 - y_k)$, we have $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = 0$. □

By Lemma 4.1,

$$\Lambda(S) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{w \in \{0,1\}^n} F_{w_1} \circ \cdots \circ F_{w_n}(S).$$

For $\omega \in \{0,1\}^\infty$, define

$$\Lambda_\omega := \bigcap_{n\geq 1} F_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ F_{\omega_n}(S).$$

Then

$$\Lambda(S) = \bigcup_{\omega\in\{0,1\}^\infty} \Lambda_\omega.$$

Lemma 4.3. *For every $\omega \in \{0,1\}^\infty$, $p_\omega := \Lambda_\omega \cap \mathscr{P} \neq \emptyset$ and p_ω is connected. Moreover the connected component of Λ_ω which contains p_ω is unbounded. $\Lambda_\omega \cap \Lambda_{\tilde{\omega}} = \emptyset$ if $\omega \neq \tilde{\omega}$.*

Proof. Write $\mathscr{P}_1 = \{(x,y) : y = x^2 - 2,\ -1 \leq x \leq 1\}$, then $\mathscr{P}_1 = \mathscr{P} \cap S$. By the definition of F_0 and F_1, it is direct to check that

$$F_0(\mathscr{P}_1),\ F_1(\mathscr{P}_1) \subset \mathscr{P}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad F_0(S \setminus \mathscr{P}_1),\ F_1(S \setminus \mathscr{P}_1) \subset S \setminus \mathscr{P}_1.$$

Consequently

$$p_\omega = \left(\bigcap_{n\geq 1} F_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ F_{\omega_n}(S)\right) \cap \mathscr{P} = \bigcap_{n\geq 1} F_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ F_{\omega_n}(\mathscr{P}_1).$$

Since $F_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ F_{\omega_n}(\mathscr{P}_1)$ is compact, connected and decreasing, p_ω is nonempty, compact and connected.

Let $\Psi : \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N\} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the standard polar projection from the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$, where $N = (0,0,1)$ is the north pole. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N\}$ be the inverse of Ψ. Define $\hat{S} = \Phi(S) \cup \{N\} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$, then $\hat{S}$ is compact and connected. For $i = 0, 1$, define $\hat{F}_i : \hat{S} \to \hat{S}$ as

$$\hat{F}_i(x) = \begin{cases} \Phi \circ F_i \circ \Psi(x) & x \neq N, \\ N & x = N. \end{cases}$$

From the definition of F_i and $\hat{F}_i$, it is easy to check that $\hat{F}_0, \hat{F}_1$ are continuous. Moreover, by Lemma 4.1,

$$\hat{F}_i(\hat{S} \setminus \{N\}) \subset \hat{S} \setminus \{N\}, \quad (i = 0,1) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{F}_0(\hat{S}) \cap \hat{F}_1(\hat{S}) = \{N\}.$$

For any $\omega \in \{0,1\}^\infty$, define

$$\hat{\Lambda}_\omega := \bigcap_{n\geq 0} \hat{F}_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ \hat{F}_{\omega_n}(\hat{S}).$$

Then $\hat{\Lambda}_\omega$ is compact, connected and $N \in \hat{\Lambda}_\omega$. Moreover from the definition of $\hat{F}_i$, we have $\hat{\Lambda}_\omega \setminus \{N\} = \Phi(\Lambda_\omega)$. It is known that if E is a compact and connected set in $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $N \in E$, then each connected component of $\Psi(E \setminus \{N\})$ is unbounded(see for example [52] page 84). Applying to our situation, we conclude that each connected components of Λ_ω is unbounded. In particular, the component containing p_ω is unbounded.

Now assume $\omega \neq \tilde{\omega}$. Notice that F_1, F_2 are all injective, and by Lemma 4.1, $F_0(S) \cap F_1(S) = \emptyset$, then it is seen that $\Lambda_\omega \cap \Lambda_{\tilde{\omega}} = \emptyset$. □

4.2. **Type-II and type-III energies are dense and uncountable.**
The following initial condition is useful in what follows.

Lemma 4.4. *We have*

$$\begin{cases} t_1^2(E) - t_2(E) - 2 = 4\lambda^2 & E \in \mathbb{R}, \\ t_2^2(E) - t_3(E) - 2 > 0 & E \in \mathrm{int}(\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2). \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first equation follows trivially from (2.4).

By (2.4),(2.5) and direct computation, we know that $(t_2(E), t_3(E))$ is in the parabola $y = x^2 + 4\lambda^2 x - 8\lambda^2 - 2$. Thus $t_2^2(E) - t_3(E) - 2 > 0$ if $t_2(E) < 2$. By (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \{E : |\lambda| \leq |E| \leq \sqrt{4+\lambda^2}\} \\ \{E : t_2(E) \leq 2\} &= \{E : \sqrt{1+\lambda^2} - 1 \leq |E| \leq \sqrt{1+\lambda^2} + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_2 = \{E : |t_2(E)| \leq 2\}$, $\sqrt{1+\lambda^2} - 1 \leq |\lambda|$ and $\sqrt{4+\lambda^2} \leq \sqrt{1+\lambda^2} + 1$, we conclude that $\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2 \subset \{E : t_2(E) \leq 2\}$ and consequently $\mathrm{int}(\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2) \subset \{E : t_2(E) < 2\}$. □

Now we relate type-II and type-III energies to $\Lambda(S)$. For any $k \geq 1$, write

$$\varphi_k(E) = (t_k(E), t_{k+1}(E)).$$

By (2.5), it is direct to check that for any $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $k \geq 1$,

$$f(\varphi_k(E)) = \varphi_{k+2}(E). \tag{4.2}$$

Lemma 4.5. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{II} &= \bigcup_{k \ even} \{E : \varphi_k(E) \in \Lambda(S) \setminus \mathscr{P}\} = \{E : t_{2n}(E) \to 0; t_{2n-1}(E) \to -\infty\} \\ \Sigma_{III} &= \bigcup_{k \ odd} \{E : \varphi_k(E) \in \Lambda(S) \setminus \mathscr{P}\} = \{E : t_{2n-1}(E) \to 0; t_{2n}(E) \to -\infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only show the first equation, since the proof of the second one is the same.

At first we fix $E \in \Sigma_{II}$. By the definition of type-II energy, there exists an even number $k > 0$ such that, for any $n \geq 0$,

$$|t_{k+2n}(E)| \leq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad |t_{k+2n+1}(E)| > 2.$$

By Lemma 2.1 (i) and (iii), we have $t_{k+2n+1}(E) < -2$ for any $n \geq 0$. So $0 \leq 2 - t_{k+2n+2}(E) \leq 4$ and $2 - t_{k+2n+1}(E) > 4$. By (2.5), we have

$$2 - t_{k+2n+2}(E) = t_{k+2n}^2(E)(2 - t_{k+2n+1}(E)).$$

This implies that $|t_{k+2n}(E)| < 1$ for any $n \geq 0$. That is, for any $n \geq 0$,

$$\varphi_{k+2n}(E) = (t_{k+2n}(E), t_{k+2n+1}(E)) \in [-1,1] \times (-\infty, -2) \subset S.$$

Since $\varphi_{k+2n}(E) = f^n(\varphi_k(E))$ by (4.2), we conclude that $\varphi_k(E) \in \Lambda(S)$. We also have $\varphi_k(E) \notin \mathscr{P}$ since $\varphi_k(E) \in [-1,1] \times (-\infty, -2)$ and $y \geq -2$ for any $(x,y) \in \mathscr{P}$. Thus $\varphi_k(E) \in \Lambda(S) \setminus \mathscr{P}$.

Next we fix k even, and take E such that $\varphi_k(E) \in \Lambda(S) \setminus \mathscr{P}$. By Lemma 4.2 and the fact that $\varphi_{k+2n}(E) = f^n(\varphi_k(E))$, we have $t_{k+2n}(E) \to 0$ and $t_{k+2n+1}(E) \to -\infty$.

Finally if E is such that $t_{2n}(E) \to 0$ and $t_{2n-1}(E) \to -\infty$, by the definition of type-II energy, we conclude that $E \in \Sigma_{II}$. □

We are ready for the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Fix $E_* \in \sigma(H_\lambda)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since Σ_I is dense in $\sigma(H_\lambda)$(see [46]), there exists $E_0 \in \Sigma_I$ such that $|E_0 - E_*| < \varepsilon/2$. By the definition of type-I energy, there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_K(E_0) = 0$. Then $t_n(E_0) = 2$ for any $n \geq K+2$ by Lemma 2.1 (i). It is known that σ_n is made of 2^n non-overlapping closed bands and E_0 is an end point of some band $B_n \subset \sigma_n$, moreover t_n is monotone on B_n and $t_n(B_n) = [-2,2]$. By choosing odd (even) number $k > K+2$ large enough, we can assume E_0 is the endpoint of $B_k \subset \sigma_k$ and B_k has length less than $\epsilon/2$(see [46] Appendix). Let $E_1, E_2 \in B_k$ be such that $t_k([E_1, E_2]) = [-1,1]$.

Claim: For any $\omega \in \{0,1\}^\infty$,

$$\varphi_k([E_1, E_2]) \cap \Lambda_\omega \neq \emptyset.$$

◁ Let us show that the curve $\varphi_k([E_1, E_2]) \subset S$ stays below $\mathscr{P}$ and touches the left and right boundaries of S(see Figure 1) .

Fix $E \in [E_1, E_2]$. Since $f(t_n(E), t_{n+1}(E)) = (t_{n+2}(E), t_{n+3}(E))$, by (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & t_k^2(E) - t_{k+1}(E) - 2 \\ = & \begin{cases} (t_1^2(E) - t_2(E) - 2) \displaystyle\prod_{l=1}^{(k-1)/2} t_{2l-1}^2(E)(2 - t_{2l}(E))^2 & k \text{ odd}, \\ (t_2^2(E) - t_3(E) - 2) \displaystyle\prod_{l=1}^{k/2-1} t_{2l}^2(E)(2 - t_{2l+1}(E))^2 & k \text{ even}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $t_k(E) \neq 2$ since $E \in [E_1, E_2]$. By Lemma 2.1 (ii), $t_i(E) \neq 2$ for any $2 \leq i \leq k$, and by Lemma 2.1 (i), $t_i(E) \neq 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k-2$. Moreover, by Lemma 4.4, $t_1^2(E) - t_2(E) - 2 > 0$ and $t_2^2(E) - t_3(E) - 2 > 0$ since $\sigma_k \subset \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$ and thus

$$E \in \operatorname{int}(B_k) \subset \operatorname{int}(\sigma_k) \subset \operatorname{int}(\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2).$$

(see [46]). Hence $t_k^2(E) - t_{k+1}(E) - 2 > 0$, i.e. $\varphi_k(E)$ is below $\mathscr{P}$. On the other hand, since t_k is monotone on $[E_1, E_2]$ and $\{t_k(E_1), t_k(E_2)\} = \{-1, 1\}$, the curve $\varphi_k([E_1, E_2])$ stays in S and touches the left and right boundaries.

By Lemma 4.3, $\Lambda_\omega \subset S$ has a unbounded connected component which is rooted in $\mathscr{P}$, then the curve $\varphi_k([E_1, E_2])$ must intersect Λ_ω. ▷

For any ω, fix some $E_\omega \in [E_1, E_2]$ such that $\varphi_k(E_\omega) \in \Lambda_\omega$, then $\varphi_k(E_\omega) \notin \mathscr{P}$ since $\varphi_k([E_1, E_2])$ stays below $\mathscr{P}$. Moreover, $E_\omega \neq E_{\tilde{\omega}}$ for $\omega \neq \tilde{\omega}$ since Λ_ω and $\Lambda_{\tilde{\omega}}$ are disjoint and t_k is monotone on $[E_1, E_2]$. Then by Lemma 4.5, $E_\omega \in \Sigma_{III}(\Sigma_{II})$. We also have

$$|E_\omega - E_*| \leq |E_\omega - E_0| + |E_0 - E_*| \leq \epsilon.$$

Thus $\Sigma_{III}(\Sigma_{II})$ is dense in $\sigma(H_\lambda)$ and uncountable. □

For later use, we show the following relation between $\nu_n(E)$ and $\omega_n(E)$ for type-II and type-III energies(see (2.10) for the definitions of $\nu_n(E)$ and $\omega_n(E)$).

Lemma 4.6. *For any $E \in \Sigma_{II} \cup \Sigma_{III}$, $\nu_n(E) \neq 0$. Moreover,*

$$\frac{\omega_n(E)}{\nu_n(E)} = \frac{E^2 - \lambda^2}{2E} =: \kappa(E) \tag{4.3}$$

with $|\kappa(E)| < 1$. We take $\theta(E) \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ such that $\sin\theta(E) = \kappa(E)$.

Proof. At first we show that $\nu_n(E) \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since E is in the spectrum, $E \neq 0$ by Lemma 2.1 (iv). By Lemma 2.7, $\nu_1(E) = 4\lambda E \neq 0$ and

$$\nu_{n+1}(E) = (t_{2n+1}(E) - 2)t_{2n}(E)\nu_n(E).$$

Since E is of type-II or type-III, by Lemma 2.1 (i) and (ii), $t_n(E) \neq 0$ for $n \geq 1$ and $t_n(E) \neq 2$ for $n \geq 2$. Consequently $\nu_n(E) \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

(4.3) follows directly from Lemma 2.7.

Next, we show $|\kappa(E)| < 1$. By (2.10) and (4.3), we have

$$t_{2n+1}(E) = t_{2n}^2(E) + (\kappa^2(E) - 1)\nu_n^2(E) - 2.$$

By Lemma 4.5, $t_{2n}(E) \to 0$ and $t_{2n+1}(E) \to -\infty$ if E is of type-II; $t_{2n+1}(E) \to 0$ and $t_{2n}(E) \to -\infty$ if E is of type-III. In both cases, it is easy to see that $|\kappa(E)| < 1$. □

5. Type-II energy

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4 for type-II energy and Theorem 1.5.

In Section 5.1, we study the asymptotic behaviors of t_n, μ_n, ν_n and ω_n. Based on these asymptotic behaviors, we obtain the structures of A_n and B_n in Section 5.2. By these structures of A_n and B_n and an interpolation argument, we prove Theorem 1.4 for type-II energies in Section 5.3. To find the boundary condition of the subordinate solution to the eigen-equation

$H_\lambda\psi = E\psi$, we study the stable directions of A_{2n} and B_{2n} in Section 5.4. Finally in Section 5.5, we prove Theorem 1.5.

Throughout this section, we fix $E \in \Sigma_{II}$.

5.1. Exact asymptotic behaviors of t_n, μ_n, ν_n and ω_n.

Theorem 5.1. *There exists $\gamma = \gamma(E) > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{|t_{2n-1}(E)|}{e^{2^n\gamma}} = \dfrac{1}{2}, \ \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{|t_{2n}(E)|}{e^{-2^n\gamma}} = 2, \ \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{|\mu_n(E)|}{e^{2^n\gamma}} = \dfrac{1}{2}, \\ \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{|\nu_n(E)|}{e^{2^n\gamma}} = \dfrac{\sec\theta(E)}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{|\omega_n(E)|}{e^{2^n\gamma}} = \dfrac{|\tan\theta(E)|}{\sqrt{2}}, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\theta(E)$ is defined in Lemma 4.6.

Proof. Since $E \in \Sigma_{II}$, by Lemma 4.5,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} t_{2n}(E) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} t_{2n-1}(E) = -\infty. \tag{5.2}$$

Write

$$|t_{2n}(E)| = e^{-2^n\gamma_{2n}} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{2n-1}(E) = -e^{2^n\gamma_{2n-1}},$$

then $\gamma_n > 0$ for n large enough.

Claim: $\lim_{n\to\infty}\gamma_n$ exists.

$\lhd$ By (2.5), we have $t_{2n}(E) = t_{2n-2}^2(E)(t_{2n-1}(E) - 2) + 2$. By taking the limits on both sides and using (5.2), we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} 2^n(\gamma_{2n-1} - \gamma_{2n-2}) = \ln 2. \tag{5.3}$$

By (2.5), we also have $t_{2n+1}(E) = t_{2n-1}^2(E)(t_{2n}(E) - 2) + 2$. Thus

$$\frac{t_{2n+1}(E)}{t_{2n-1}^2(E)} = (t_{2n}(E) - 2) + \frac{2}{t_{2n-1}^2(E)}.$$

By taking the limits on both sides and using (5.2), we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} 2^{n+1}(\gamma_{2n+1} - \gamma_{2n-1}) = \ln 2. \tag{5.4}$$

(5.3) implies that $\gamma_{2n+1} - \gamma_{2n} = O(2^{-n})$. (5.4) implies that $\gamma_{2n+1} - \gamma_{2n-1} = O(2^{-n})$. Consequently $\gamma_{2n} - \gamma_{2n-1} = O(2^{-n})$. As a result, $\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n = O(2^{-n/2})$. Hence $\{\gamma_n : n \geq 1\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and the limit exists. We denote the limit by γ. $\rhd$

Now fix any small $\epsilon > 0$. By (5.4), there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N$,

$$\frac{(1-\epsilon)\ln 2}{2^{n+1}} \leq \gamma_{2n+1} - \gamma_{2n-1} \leq \frac{(1+\epsilon)\ln 2}{2^{n+1}}.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{(1-\epsilon)\ln 2}{2^n} \leq \gamma - \gamma_{2n-1} \leq \frac{(1+\epsilon)\ln 2}{2^n},$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{2^{1+\epsilon}} \leq \frac{|t_{2n-1}(E)|}{e^{2^n\gamma}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{1-\epsilon}}.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we get the first equality of (5.1).

By (5.2), $|t_{2n-1}(E)| \to \infty$, then the first equality of (5.1) implies that $\gamma > 0$.

By (5.3), we have $e^{2^n\gamma_{2n+1}}|t_{2n}(E)| \to \sqrt{2}$. By the first equality of (5.1), we have $e^{2^n\gamma - 2^n\gamma_{2n+1}} \to \sqrt{2}$. Combine these two equations, we get the second equality of (5.1).

By (2.9), we have $t_{2n}(E) = t_{2n-1}^2(E) - \mu_n^2(E) - 2$. By (5.2), we conclude that $|\mu_n(E)|/|t_{2n-1}(E)| \to 1$. Then the third equality of (5.1) follows from the first one of (5.1).

By (2.10) and (4.3), we have $t_{2n+1}(E) = t_{2n}^2(E) - (1-\kappa^2)\nu_n^2(E) - 2$. By (5.2), we conclude that $\nu_n^2(E)/|t_{2n+1}(E)| \to 1/(1-\kappa^2)$. Then the fourth and fifth equalities of (5.1) follow from the first one of (5.1) and (4.3). □

To study the structures of A_n and B_n, we need more precise descriptions of $t_n(E)$ and $\mu_n(E)$:

Proposition 5.2. *We have the following expansions:*

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2^n\gamma}|t_{2n}(E)| &= 2 + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}), \\ e^{-2^n\gamma}|t_{2n-1}(E)| &= \tfrac{1}{2} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}), \\ e^{-2^n\gamma}|\mu_n(E)| &= \tfrac{1}{2} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. By (5.1), if we write

$$|t_{2n}| = 2e^{-2^n\gamma+\zeta_{2n}}, \quad \text{and} \quad |t_{2n-1}| = \frac{e^{2^n\gamma+\zeta_{2n-1}}}{2}, \tag{5.6}$$

then $\zeta_n \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$.

By (2.5), we have $t_{2n+1} = t_{2n-1}^2(t_{2n} - 2) + 2$. By (5.6), it is equivalent to

$$e^{\zeta_{2n+1}-2\zeta_{2n-1}} = 1 \pm e^{-2^n\gamma+\zeta_{2n}} - 4e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma-2\zeta_{2n-1}}$$

Consequently, $|2\zeta_{2n-1} - \zeta_{2n+1}| \leq 3e^{-2^n\gamma}$ for n large enough. Hence,

$$|\zeta_{2n-1}| \leq 3e^{-2^n\gamma}. \tag{5.7}$$

This implies the second equation of (5.5).

Still by (2.5), we have $t_{2n+2} = t_{2n}^2(t_{2n+1} - 2) + 2$. By (5.6), we have

$$e^{2\zeta_{2n}+\zeta_{2n+1}} = 1 \pm e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma+\zeta_{2n+2}} - 4e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma+2\zeta_{2n}}.$$

Consequently, $|2\zeta_{2n} + \zeta_{2n+1}| \leq 3e^{-2^n\gamma}$ for n large enough. Then by (5.7), $|\zeta_{2n}| \leq 3e^{-2^n\gamma}$. This implies the first equation of (5.5).

By (2.9) and (5.1), for n large enough,

$$\big||t_{2n-1}| - |\mu_n|\big| = \frac{|2 + t_{2n}|}{|t_{2n-1}| + |\mu_n|} \leq 3e^{-2^n\gamma}.$$

This implies the third equation of (5.5). □

5.2. **Structures of A_n and B_n.**

Write $\epsilon_n := \operatorname{sign}(\mu_n)$. By Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 4.5, ϵ_n is eventually constant, which we denote by η.

Theorem 5.3. *For n large enough,*

$$\frac{A_{2n+1}}{t_{2n+1}} = \frac{I-\eta U}{2} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}), \quad \frac{B_{2n+1}}{t_{2n+1}} = \frac{I+\eta U}{2} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}). \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. By (2.12), we have

$$\frac{A_{2n+1}}{t_{2n+1}} = \frac{t_{2n}t_{2n-1}^2}{t_{2n+1}}\frac{A_{2n-1}}{t_{2n-1}} - \frac{t_{2n-1}\mu_n}{t_{2n+1}}U - \frac{t_{2n-1}^2-1}{t_{2n+1}}I. \tag{5.9}$$

By (5.5), there exist constants $c, d > 0$ such that

$$\left\|\frac{A_{2n+1}}{t_{2n+1}}\right\| \le c + d\cdot e^{-2^n\gamma}\left\|\frac{A_{2n-1}}{t_{2n-1}}\right\|.$$

By Lemma 2.8, $\|A_{2n+1}/t_{2n+1}\| = O(1)$. Now by (5.9) and (5.5),

$$\frac{A_{2n+1}}{t_{2n+1}} = \frac{I-\epsilon_n U}{2} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}).$$

This proves the first equation of (5.8).

The proof of the second equation of (5.8) is same. □

Since $E \in \Sigma_{II}$, $t_n(E) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Write $\xi_n := -\operatorname{sign}(t_{2n})$ and $\delta_n = \prod_{j=1}^n \xi_j$.

Theorem 5.4. *There exist nonzero constants $c = c(E)$ and $\hat{c} = \hat{c}(E)$ such that for n large enough,*

$$\begin{aligned} t_{2n}A_{2n} &= \delta_n c(V+\eta W) + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}),\\ t_{2n}B_{2n} &= \delta_n \hat{c}(V-\eta W) + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. By (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{2n}A_{2n} &= t_{2n}t_{2n-1}t_{2n-2}A_{2n-2} + t_{2n}(1-t_{2n-2}^2)I\\ &\quad -t_{2n}t_{2n-2}\nu_{n-1}V - t_{2n}t_{2n-2}\omega_{n-1}W. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

By (5.1) and (5.5),

$$\|t_{2n}A_{2n}\| \le \left(1+O(e^{-2^n\gamma})\right)\|t_{2n-2}A_{2n-2}\| + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}).$$

By Lemma 2.8, $\|t_{2n}A_{2n}\| = O(1)$.

On the other hand, (5.11) implies that

$$\delta_n t_{2n}A_{2n} = |t_{2n}t_{2n-1}|\delta_{n-1}t_{2n-2}A_{2n-2} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}).$$

By (5.5), $|t_{2n}t_{2n-1}| = 1 + O(e^{-2^n\gamma})$. Thus

$$\delta_n t_{2n}A_{2n} - \delta_{n-1}t_{2n-2}A_{2n-2} = O(e^{-2^n\gamma}). \tag{5.12}$$

Consequently $\{\delta_n t_{2n} A_{2n} : n \geq 1\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let matrix C be the limit, then (5.12) implies that

$$t_{2n}A_{2n} = \delta_n C + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}). \tag{5.13}$$

The same proof shows that there exists a matrix $\widehat{C}$ such that

$$t_{2n}B_{2n} = \delta_n \widehat{C} + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}). \tag{5.14}$$

In the following we show C is nonzero. If otherwise, we have $t_{2n}A_{2n} = O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma})$, then $\|A_{2n}\| = O(e^{-2^n\gamma})$ by (5.1). On the other hand, (5.14) implies that $\|B_{2n}\| = O(e^{2^n\gamma})$. Thus $\|A_{2n+1}\| \leq \|B_{2n}\|\|A_{2n}\| = O(1)$. However, by Theorem 5.3, we have $\|A_{2n+1}\| \sim e^{2^{n+1}\gamma}$, which is a contradiction. So C is nonzero.

The same proof shows that $\widehat{C}$ is nonzero.

To obtain the exact forms of C and $\widehat{C}$, further informations are needed. We will postpone the proof to Section 5.4. □

Remark 5.5. Recall that $A_n = T_{2^n}$. Thus Theorem 5.3 implies that $\|T_k\| \sim e^{\sqrt{2}\gamma\sqrt{k}}$ for $k = 2^{2n+1}$, while Theorem 5.4 implies that $\|T_k\| \sim e^{\gamma\sqrt{k}}$ for $k = 2^{2n}$. This implies that there exists fluctuation for the coefficients of $\sqrt{k}$.

Theorem 5.4 has the following consequence, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Corollary 5.6. *We have*

$$\begin{cases} \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{\|B_{2n}^2 A_{2n}\|}{e^{2^n\gamma}} = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{\|A_{2n}B_{2n}^2\|}{e^{2^n\gamma}} = \sqrt{1+c^2}, \\ \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{\|A_{2n}^2 B_{2n}\|}{e^{2^n\gamma}} = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{\|B_{2n}A_{2n}^2\|}{e^{2^n\gamma}} = \sqrt{1+\hat{c}^2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, Theorem 5.3, Theorem 5.4 and (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & B_{2n}^2 A_{2n} \\ = \;& t_{2n}A_{2n+1} - A_{2n} = t_{2n}t_{2n+1}\frac{A_{2n+1}}{t_{2n+1}} - t_{2n}^{-1}t_{2n}A_{2n} \\ = \;& t_{2n}t_{2n+1}\left(\frac{I-\epsilon_n U}{2} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma})\right) - t_{2n}^{-1}\left(\delta_n c(V+\epsilon_n W) + O(e^{-2^n\gamma})\right) \\ = \;& \frac{e^{2^n\gamma}}{2}\left(\pm(I\pm U) \pm c(V\mp W) + o(1)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then the first equality holds. The same proof shows that the other three equalities hold. □

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.4 for type-II energy.**

We will frequently use the following two facts: if $X \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, then $\|X\| = \|X^{-1}\|$; if X is invertible and $X = YZ$, then

$$\|X\| \le \|Y\|\|Z\| \quad \text{ or equivalently } \quad \|Y\| \ge \|X\|/\|Z\|.$$

Theorem 1.4 follows from the following lemma, the proof of which is by interpolation. See also Figure 2 for some intuition.

Lemma 5.7. *There exists a constant $C = C(E) > 1$ such that*

$$C^{-n-1}e^{2^n\gamma} \le \|T_k\|, \|\overline{T}_k\| \le C^{n+1}e^{2^{n+1}\gamma} \tag{5.15}$$

if $2^{2n} \le k < 2^{2(n+1)}$. Consequently, if $k < 2^{2n}$, then

$$\|T_k\|, \|\overline{T}_k\| \le C^n e^{2^n\gamma}. \tag{5.16}$$

Proof. The proofs for T_k and $\overline{T}_k$ are essentially the same, so we only prove the result for T_k. (5.16) is a direct consequence of (5.15), so we only need to show (5.15).

By Theroem 5.3, Theroem 5.4 and Corollary 5.6, there exists a constant $C = C(E) > 1$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$C^{-1}e^{2^n\gamma} \le \|D_{2n-1}\|,\ \|D_{2n}\|,\ \|D_{2n}\bar{D}_{2n}^2\|,\ \|D_{2n}^2\bar{D}_{2n}\| \le Ce^{2^n\gamma}, \tag{5.17}$$

where $D \in \{A, B\}$ and $\bar{D} = B$ if $D = A$ and $\bar{D} = A$ if $D = B$.

Assume $2^{2n} \le k < 2^{2(n+1)}$. Write $k = \sum_{j=0}^{n} l_j 2^{2j}$ with $0 \le l_j \le 3$, then $l_n > 0$. Define $\mathcal{P}(k) := \min\{j : l_j > 0\}$.

If $\mathcal{P}(k) = n$, then $k = l_n 2^{2n}$ with $l_n = 1$, 2 or 3. By (5.17),

$$\begin{cases} C^{-1}e^{2^n\gamma} \le \|T_k\| \le Ce^{2^n\gamma}, & l_n = 1 \text{ or } 3; \\ C^{-1}e^{2^{n+1}\gamma} \le \|T_k\| \le Ce^{2^{n+1}\gamma}, & l_n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then (5.15) holds.

Now assume $\mathcal{P}(k) < n$. We will prove that if $l_{\mathcal{P}(k)} = 1$ or 3, then

$$C^{-n-1+\mathcal{P}(k)}e^{(2^n+2^{\mathcal{P}(k)})\gamma} \le \|T_k\| \le C^{n+1-\mathcal{P}(k)}e^{(2^{n+1}-2^{\mathcal{P}(k)})\gamma}; \tag{5.18}$$

if $l_{\mathcal{P}(k)} = 2$ then

$$C^{-n-1+\mathcal{P}(k)}e^{2^n\gamma} \le \|T_k\| \le C^{n+1-\mathcal{P}(k)}e^{2^{n+1}\gamma}, \tag{5.19}$$

which obviously implies (5.15). We prove it by induction on $\mathcal{P}(k)$.

Consider firstly the case $\mathcal{P}(k) = n - 1$.

If $l_n = 1$ or 3. By $T_k = T_{l_n 2^{2n}\to k}T_{l_n 2^{2n}}$ and (5.17),

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T_k\| \le C^2 e^{(2^n+2^{n-1})\gamma} = C^2 e^{(2^{n+1}-2^{n-1})\gamma}, && \text{if } l_{n-1} = 1 \text{ or } 3; \\ &\|T_k\| \le C^2 e^{(2^n+2^n)\gamma} = C^2 e^{2^{n+1}\gamma}, && \text{if } l_{n-1} = 2. \end{aligned}$$

By $T_{(l_n+1)2^{2n}} = T_{k\to(l_n+1)2^{2n}}T_k$ and (5.17),

$$\begin{aligned}&\|T_k\| \geq C^{-2}e^{(2^{n+1}-2^{n-1})\gamma} = C^{-2}e^{(2^n+2^{n-1})\gamma}, && \text{if } l_{n-1}=1 \text{ or } 3;\\ &\|T_k\| \geq C^{-2}e^{(2^{n+1}-2^n)\gamma} = C^{-2}e^{2^n\gamma}, && \text{if } l_{n-1}=2.\end{aligned}$$

If $l_n = 2$. By $T_k = T^{-1}_{k\to 3\times 2^{2n}}T_{3\times 2^{2n}}$ and (5.17),

$$\begin{aligned}&\|T_k\| \leq C^{2}e^{(2^n+2^{n-1})\gamma} = C^{2}e^{(2^{n+1}-2^{n-1})\gamma}, && \text{if } l_{n-1}=1 \text{ or } 3;\\ &\|T_k\| \leq C^{2}e^{(2^n+2^n)\gamma} = C^{2}e^{2^{n+1}\gamma}, && \text{if } l_{n-1}=2.\end{aligned}$$

By $T_{2\times 2^{2n}} = T^{-1}_{2\times 2^{2n}\to k}T_k$ and (5.17),

$$\begin{aligned}&\|T_k\| \geq C^{-2}e^{(2^{n+1}-2^{n-1})\gamma} = C^{-2}e^{(2^n+2^{n-1})\gamma}, && \text{if } l_{n-1}=1 \text{ or } 3;\\ &\|T_k\| \geq C^{-2}e^{(2^{n+1}-2^n)\gamma} = C^{-2}e^{2^n\gamma}, && \text{if } l_{n-1}=2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus (5.18) and (5.19) holds for $\mathcal{P}(k) = n-1$.

Now take any $p < n-1$. Suppose (5.18) and (5.19) hold for any $\tilde{k}$ with $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{k}) > p$. Take any k with $\mathcal{P}(k) = p$.

If $l_{p+1} = 0$ or 2, set $k' = k - l_p 2^{2p} + 2^{2(p+1)}$, then $\mathcal{P}(k') = p+1$ and $l_{p+1}(k') = 1$ or 3. By $T_{k'} = T_{k\to k'}T_k$, inductive hypothesis and (5.17),

$$\begin{aligned}&\|T_k\| \leq C^{n+1-p}e^{(2^{n+1}-2^{p+1}+2^p)\gamma} = C^{n+1-p}e^{(2^{n+1}-2^p)\gamma}, && \text{if } l_p=1 \text{ or } 3;\\ &\|T_k\| \leq C^{n+1-p}e^{(2^{n+1}-2^{p+1}+2^{p+1})\gamma} = C^{n+1-p}e^{2^{n+1}\gamma}, && \text{if } l_p=2;\\ &\|T_k\| \geq C^{-n-1+p}e^{(2^n+2^{p+1}-2^p)\gamma} = C^{-n-1+p}e^{(2^n+2^p)\gamma}, && \text{if } l_p=1 \text{ or } 3;\\ &\|T_k\| \geq C^{-n-1+p}e^{(2^n+2^{p+1}-2^{p+1})\gamma} = C^{-n-1+p}e^{2^n\gamma}, && \text{if } l_p=2.\end{aligned}$$

If $l_{p+1} = 1$ or 3, set $k' = k - l_p 2^{2p}$, then $\mathcal{P}(k') = p+1$ and $l_{p+1}(k') = 1$ or 3. By $T_k = T_{k'\to k}T_{k'}$, inductive hypothesis and (5.17),

$$\begin{aligned}&\|T_k\| \leq C^{n+1-p}e^{(2^{n+1}-2^{p+1}+2^p)\gamma} = C^{n+1-p}e^{(2^{n+1}-2^p)\gamma}, && \text{if } l_p=1 \text{ or } 3;\\ &\|T_k\| \leq C^{n+1-p}e^{(2^{n+1}-2^{p+1}+2^{p+1})\gamma} = C^{n+1-p}e^{2^{n+1}\gamma}, && \text{if } l_p=2;\\ &\|T_k\| \geq C^{-n-1+p}e^{(2^n+2^{p+1}-2^p)\gamma} = C^{-n-1+p}e^{(2^n+2^p)\gamma}, && \text{if } l_p=1 \text{ or } 3;\\ &\|T_k\| \geq C^{-n-1+p}e^{(2^n+2^{p+1}-2^{p+1})\gamma} = C^{-n-1+p}e^{2^n\gamma}, && \text{if } l_p=2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus (5.18) and (5.19) holds for $\mathcal{P}(k) = p$. This finishes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4(type-II energy). By Lemma 2.2 (iii), we only need to consider T_n. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let k be the unique integer such that $2^{2k} \leq n < 2^{2(k+1)}$. Then $2^k \leq \sqrt{n} < 2^{k+1}$. By (5.15), we have

$$n^{-\alpha}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{n}} \leq C^{-k-1}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{n}} \leq \|T_n\| \leq C^{k+1}e^{2\gamma\sqrt{n}} \leq n^{\alpha}e^{2\gamma\sqrt{n}}, \tag{5.20}$$

where $\alpha = \log C/\log 2$. Then Theorem 1.4 holds for any $c_2 > 2$ and $c_1 \in (0, 1/2)$. □

5.4. **Stable directions of $\{A_{2n}\}$ and $\{B_{2n}\}$.**

Proposition 5.8. *There exist unit vectors $s, \hat{s} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that*

$$\|A_{2n}s\|,\ \|B_{2n}\hat{s}\| = O(e^{-2^n\gamma}) \tag{5.21}$$

and for any unit vector $v(\hat{v})$ which is independent with $s(\hat{s})$,

$$\|A_{2n}v\|,\ \|B_{2n}\hat{v}\| \gtrsim e^{2^n\gamma}.$$

We only prove the result for $\{A_{2n}\}$, since the prove for $\{B_{2n}\}$ is the same. The proof is inspired by Oseledets' argument.

By the singular value decomposition, there exist four unit vectors s_n, u_n, v_n and w_n such that

$$s_n \perp u_n, \quad v_n \perp w_n, \quad A_{2n}s_n = \|A_{2n}\|^{-1}v_n, \quad A_{2n}u_n = \|A_{2n}\|w_n.$$

Lemma 5.9. *The sequence $(s_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in projective space. Let s be the limit, then*

$$|\sin \measuredangle(s_n, s)| = O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}). \tag{5.22}$$

Proof. Write $\beta_n = \measuredangle(s_n, s_{n+1})$. Then $s_n = s_{n+1}\cos\beta_n + u_{n+1}\sin\beta_n$. Thus

$$A_{2n+2}s_n = \cos\beta_n\|A_{2n+2}\|^{-1}v_{n+1} + \sin\beta_n\|A_{2n+2}\|w_{n+1}.$$

Recall that $A_{2n+2} = A_{2n}B_{2n}^2A_{2n}$, then

$$|\sin\beta_n| \le \frac{\|A_{2n+2}s_n\|}{\|A_{2n+2}\|} = \frac{\|A_{2n}B_{2n}^2v_n\|}{\|A_{2n}\|\|A_{2n+2}\|}.$$

By Theorem 5.4, Corollary 5.6 and (5.1), we get $\|A_{2n}\|, \|A_{2n}B_{2n}^2\| \sim e^{2^n\gamma}$. Consequently,

$$|\sin\beta_n| = O(\|A_{2n+2}\|^{-1}) = O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}). \tag{5.23}$$

This implies that $\{s_n\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let s be the limit, then (5.22) follows from (5.23). □

Indeed s is the direction we are looking for.

Proof of Proposition 5.8. Let θ_n be the angle between s_n and s, then $|\sin\theta_n| = O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma})$ by (5.22). Since $s = \cos\theta_n s_n + \sin\theta_n u_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_{2n}s\| &= \|\cos\theta_n A_{2n}s_n + \sin\theta_n A_{2n}u_n\| \le \|A_{2n}\|^{-1} + |\sin\theta_n|\|A_{2n}\| \\ &= O(e^{-2^n\gamma}).\end{aligned}$$

Now fix an unit vector v which is independent with s, denote the angle between v and s_n in projective space by ϕ_n. Then $\measuredangle(s,v)/2 \le \phi_n \le \pi/2$ for n large enough. Since $v = \cos\phi_n s_n + \sin\phi_n u_n$, we have

$$\|A_{2n}v\| = \|\cos\phi_n A_{2n}s_n + \sin\phi_n A_{2n}u_n\| \ge |\sin\phi_n|\|A_{2n}\| \gtrsim e^{2^n\gamma}.$$

Thus the result follows. □

We call s and $\hat{s}$ the *stable directions* of $\{A_{2n}\}$ and $\{B_{2n}\}$, respectively. Recall that $v_\theta = (\cos\theta, -\sin\theta)^t$.

Proposition 5.10. *$s \parallel v_{-\frac{\eta\pi}{4}}$ and $\hat{s} \parallel v_{\frac{\eta\pi}{4}}$. Consequently*

$$s \perp \hat{s}, \quad Us = \eta s \quad \text{and} \quad U\hat{s} = -\eta\hat{s}. \tag{5.24}$$

Proof. At first we show that $s \parallel v_{-\frac{\eta\pi}{4}}$. If otherwise, $s = v_\theta$ with $\theta \neq -\frac{\eta\pi}{4} + k\pi$, then $\cos\theta + \eta\sin\theta \neq 0$. On the other hand, by (5.8),

$$\frac{A_{2n-1}}{t_{2n-1}} = \frac{I - \eta U}{2} + O(e^{-2^{n-1}\gamma}).$$

Consequently,

$$\|A_{2n-1}s\| = \frac{|t_{2n-1}|}{2}\|(\cos\theta + \eta\sin\theta)(1,-\eta)^t + O(e^{-2^{n-1}\gamma})\| \sim e^{2^n\gamma}.$$

By (5.8), $\|B_{2n-1}\| \sim e^{2^n\gamma}$. Since $\det B_{2n-1} = 1$, we have $\|B_{2n-1}^{-1}\| = \|B_{2n-1}\|$. Thus

$$\|A_{2n}s\| = \|B_{2n-1}A_{2n-1}s\| \geq \frac{\|A_{2n-1}s\|}{\|B_{2n-1}^{-1}\|} = \frac{\|A_{2n-1}s\|}{\|B_{2n-1}\|} \sim 1,$$

which contradicts with (5.21).

The same proof shows that $\hat{s} \parallel v_{\frac{\eta\pi}{4}}$.

Since $s \parallel (1,\eta)^t$ and $\hat{s} \parallel (1,-\eta)^t$, (5.24) follows easily. □

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 5.4.

Proof of Theorem 5.4(continued). By (5.13), for n large enough, $t_{2n}A_{2n} = \delta_n C + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma})$. Write

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Proposition 5.10, $s \parallel (1,\eta)^t$. By Proposition 5.8, for n large enough,

$$\begin{cases} |t_{2n}^{-1}(c_{11} + \eta c_{12} + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}))| &= O(e^{-2^n\gamma}) \\ |t_{2n}^{-1}(c_{21} + \eta c_{22} + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}))| &= O(e^{-2^n\gamma}) \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, by Remark 2.3, we have $t_{2n}^{-1}(c_{21} + c_{12}) = O(e^{-2^n\gamma})$. Since $t_{2n} \sim e^{-2^n\gamma}$, we conclude that

$$c_{11} = -\eta c_{12}, \quad c_{21} = -\eta c_{22} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{21} = -c_{12}.$$

This means that $C = c(V + \eta W)$ for some c nonzreo.

The same argument shows that $\widehat{C} = \hat{c}(V - \eta W)$ for some $\hat{c}$ nonzero. □

Now we study the behaviors of $A_{2n+1}s$ and $B_{2n+1}\hat{s}$.

Proposition 5.11. *Let c and $\hat{c}$ be given as in Theorem 5.4, then*

$$A_{2n+1}s = \delta_n\hat{c}\hat{s} + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}) \quad \text{and} \quad B_{2n+1}\hat{s} = \delta_n cs + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}). \tag{5.25}$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.10, without loss of generality, we take $s = (1,\eta)^t/\sqrt{2}$ and $\hat{s} = (1,-\eta)^t/\sqrt{2}$. By Remark 2.3 and (5.10), there exist real c_*, p, q and $\hat{c}_*, \hat{p}, \hat{q}$ such that

$$t_{2n}A_{2n} = c_*\begin{pmatrix}1 & -\eta\\ \eta & -1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}p & 0\\ 0 & q\end{pmatrix} = 2c_* s\hat{s}^t + \begin{pmatrix}p & 0\\ 0 & q\end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.26}$$

$$t_{2n}B_{2n} = \hat{c}_*\begin{pmatrix}1 & \eta\\ -\eta & -1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}\hat{p} & 0\\ 0 & \hat{q}\end{pmatrix} = 2\hat{c}_* \hat{s}s^t + \begin{pmatrix}\hat{p} & 0\\ 0 & \hat{q}\end{pmatrix} \tag{5.27}$$

with

$$|\delta_n c - c_*|,\ |\delta_n \hat{c} - \hat{c}_*| = O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}),\ p,q,\hat{p},\hat{q} = O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}). \tag{5.28}$$

By taking the trace on both sides of (5.26), we get $p + q = t_{2n}^2$. Since $A_{2n+1} = B_{2n}A_{2n}$ and $s \perp \hat{s}$, by (5.26), (5.27), (5.28) and direct computation,

$$t_{2n}^2 A_{2n+1}s = \hat{c}_*(p+q)\hat{s} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}p\hat{p}\\ \eta q\hat{q}\end{pmatrix} = \hat{c}_* t_{2n}^2 \hat{s} + O(e^{-2^{n+2}\gamma}).$$

Consequently by (5.1) and (5.28), we have

$$A_{2n+1}s = \hat{c}_*\hat{s} + t_{2n}^{-2}O(e^{-2^{n+2}\gamma}) = \hat{c}_*\hat{s} + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}) = \delta_n \hat{c}\hat{s} + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}).$$

Similarly, we get $B_{2n+1}\hat{s} = \delta_n cs + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma})$. □

5.5. **Subordinate solution.**

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.5. As a preparation, we have

Lemma 5.12. *There exist $\alpha = \alpha(E) > 0$ and unit vector $\hat{v}$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any vector $w \nparallel \hat{v}$, we have*

$$\|T_n w\| \gtrsim n^{-\alpha} e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{n}}.$$

Proof. By singular value decomposition, there exist unit vectors s_n, u_n, x_n and y_n such that $s_n \perp u_n$, $x_n \perp y_n$ and $T_n s_n = \|T_n\|^{-1}x_n, T_n u_n = \|T_n\| y_n$. Write $\beta_n = \angle(s_n, s_{n+1})$, then $s_n = s_{n+1}\cos\beta_n + u_{n+1}\sin\beta_n$. Since $T_{n+1} = T_{n\to n+1}T_n$, we have

$$\|T_{n+1}s_n\| \geq \|T_{n+1}u_{n+1}\sin\beta_n\| = |\sin\beta_n|\|T_{n+1}\| \gtrsim |\sin\beta_n|\|T_n\|.$$

On the other hand

$$\|T_{n+1}s_n\| = \|T_{n\to n+1}T_n s_n\| \lesssim \|T_n s_n\| \lesssim \|T_n\|^{-1}.$$

Combine with (5.20), we have

$$|\sin\beta_n| \lesssim \|T_n\|^{-2} \lesssim n^{2\alpha}e^{-\gamma\sqrt{n}}.$$

This implies that s_n converges to an unit vector $\hat{v}$ in the projective space.

Now assume unit vector w is independent with $\hat{v}$, let φ be the angle of w and $\hat{v}$ in projective space, then $0 < \varphi < \pi/2$. Let θ_n be the angle of w and

s_n in projective space, then when n large enough, we have $\varphi/2 < \theta_n < \pi/2$. By $w = s_n \cos\theta_n + u_n \sin\theta_n$ and (5.20), we get

$$\|T_n w\| \geq |\sin\theta_n| \|T_n u_n\| \geq |\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}| \|T_n\| \gtrsim n^{-\alpha} e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{n}}.$$

Now for any $w \nparallel \hat{v}$, we have $\|T_n w\| = \|w\| \|T_n(w/\|w\|)\| \gtrsim n^{-\alpha} e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{n}}$. □

Recall that any solution of $H_\lambda \phi = E\phi$ is uniquely determined by $\vec{\phi}_0 = (\phi_1, \phi_0)^t$. We denote by ψ^θ the solution with $\vec{\psi}_0^\theta = v_\theta$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We will show that $\psi^{-\eta\pi/4}$ is the desired subordinate solution. By Proposition 5.10, without loss of generality, we take $s = (1, \eta)^t/\sqrt{2} = v_{-\eta\pi/4}$, where s is the stable direction of $\{A_{2n} : n \geq 1\}$.

At first we note that, if ϕ is a solution of $H_\lambda \phi = E\phi$, then by Lemma 2.2 (iii), for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$U\vec{\phi}_{-n} = T_n U \vec{\phi}_0. \tag{5.29}$$

Next we claim that $\hat{v} \parallel s$, where $\hat{v}$ is from Lemma 5.12. Indeed if on the contrary, by Lemma 5.12,

$$\|T_n s\| \gtrsim n^{-\alpha} e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{n}} \to \infty.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 5.8 and Proposition 5.10, we have

$$\|T_{2^{2n}} s\| = \|A_{2n} s\| = O(e^{-2^n \gamma}) \to 0.$$

Thus we get a contradiction.

As a result, we have $\hat{v} \parallel s$. Let $\psi = \psi^{-\eta\pi/4}$, then $\vec{\psi}_0 = s$ and $\vec{\psi}_n = T_n s$. By (5.24), $U\vec{\psi}_0 = \eta\vec{\psi}_0$. By (5.29), we have

$$\vec{\psi}_{-n} = \eta U \vec{\psi}_n. \tag{5.30}$$

Thus the second equation of (1.13) follows from (5.21) and (5.30); the third equation of (1.13) follows from (5.24), (5.25) and (5.30). Assume ϕ is independent with ψ. Since $\vec{\psi}_0 = s = v_{-\eta\pi/4}$, both $\vec{\phi}_0$ and $U\vec{\phi}_0$ are not parallel to $\vec{\psi}_0$. Thus (1.14) follows from Lemma 5.12 and (5.29).

Now we show the first equation of (1.13). By (5.30), we only need to consider $\|\vec{\psi}_n\|$. We know that $\vec{\psi}_n = T_n s$. Let k be the unique integer such that $2^{2k} \leq n < 2^{2(k+1)}$. We discuss two cases.

Case I: $2^{2k} \leq n < 2^{2k+1}$. In this case, by (2.1), we have

$$T_n = T_{2^{2k} \to n} T_{2^{2k}} = \overline{T}_{n-2^{2k}} A_{2k}.$$

Since $n - 2^{2k} < 2^{2k}$, by (5.16),

$$\|\overline{T}_{n-2^{2k}}\| \leq C^k e^{2^k \gamma} \leq n^\alpha e^{2^k \gamma}.$$

Combine with (5.21), we get

$$\|\vec{\psi}_n\| = \|T_n s\| \leq \|\overline{T}_{n-2^{2k}}\| \|A_{2k} s\| \lesssim n^\alpha. \tag{5.31}$$

Case II: $2^{2k+1} \le n < 2^{2(k+1)}$. In this case, by (2.1) and (2.7), we have

$$T_n = T^{-1}_{n\to 2^{2(k+1)}} T_{2^{2(k+1)}} = U T_{2^{2(k+1)}-n} U A_{2(k+1)}.$$

Since $2^{2(k+1)} - n < 2^{2k+1}$, by (5.16),

$$\|T_{2^{2(k+1)}-n}\| \le C^{k+1} e^{2^{k+1}\gamma} \le n^\alpha e^{2^{k+1}\gamma}.$$

Combine with (5.21), we get

$$\|\vec{\psi}_n\| = \|T_n s\| \le \|T_{2^{2(k+1)}-n}\| \|A_{2(k+1)} s\| \lesssim n^\alpha. \tag{5.32}$$

The first equation of (1.13) now follows from (5.31) and (5.32). □

6. Type-III energy

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4 for type-III energy and Theorem 1.6. Basically we follow the strategy of last section. Since considerable part of the proof is the same, we only sketch it, and focus on the part which is different.

Throughout this section, we fix $E \in \Sigma_{III}$.

6.1. Exact asymptotic behaviors of t_n, μ_n, ν_n and ω_n.

Theorem 6.1. *There exists $\gamma = \gamma(E) > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{|t_{2n}(E)|}{e^{2^n\gamma}} = \dfrac{1}{2}, \ \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{|t_{2n+1}(E)|}{e^{-2^n\gamma}} = 2, \ \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{|\mu_n(E)|}{e^{2^{n-1}\gamma}} = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{|\nu_n(E)|}{e^{2^n\gamma}} = \dfrac{\sec\theta(E)}{2}, \qquad \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \dfrac{|\omega_n(E)|}{e^{2^n\gamma}} = \dfrac{|\tan\theta(E)|}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

where $\theta(E)$ is defined in Lemma 4.6.

Proof. Since $E \in \Sigma_{III}$, by Lemma 4.5,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |t_{2n+1}(E)| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} t_{2n}(E) = -\infty.$$

Write

$$|t_{2n}(E)| = e^{2^n\gamma_{2n}} \quad \text{and} \quad |t_{2n+1}(E)| = e^{-2^n\gamma_{2n+1}}.$$

By repeating the proof of Theorem 5.1, we can show that $\lim_n \gamma_n = \gamma > 0$ exists. Moreover the first two equalities of (6.1) can be obtained in the same way. The other three equalities follows from (2.9), (2.10) and (4.3). □

We also need the following more precise descriptions of $t_n(E), \nu_n(E)$ and $\omega_n(E)$ to study the structure of A_n and B_n.

Proposition 6.2. *We have the following expansions:*

$$\begin{aligned} |t_{2n}(E)| e^{-2^n\gamma} &= \tfrac{1}{2} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}) \\ |t_{2n+1}(E)| e^{2^n\gamma} &= 2 + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}) \\ |\nu_n(E)| e^{-2^n\gamma} &= \tfrac{\sec\theta(E)}{2} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}) \\ |\omega_n(E)| e^{-2^n\gamma} &= \tfrac{|\tan\theta(E)|}{2} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. The proof of the first two equations of (6.2) is similar as that of Proposition 5.2. By (2.10), (4.3) and (6.1), for n large enough, we have

$$\big||t_{2n}(E)| - |\nu_n(E)|\cos\theta(E)\big| = \frac{|2 + t_{2n+1}(E)|}{|t_{2n}(E)| + |\nu_n(E)|\cos\theta(E)} \le 6e^{-2^n\gamma}.$$

Then the third equation of (6.2) holds. By (4.3), the last equation of (6.2) holds. □

6.2. **Structures of A_n and B_n.**

Write $\varepsilon_n := \mathrm{sign}(\nu_n)$. By Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 4.5, ε_n is eventually constant, which we denote by $\hat{\eta}$. By (4.3), the sign of $\omega_n(E)$ is equal to the sign of $\nu_n(E)\sin\theta(E)$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} C_\pm(E) :=&\ I \mp \sec\theta(E)\ V \mp \tan\theta(E)\ W \\ =&\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \mp \sec\theta(E) & \pm\tan\theta(E) \\ \mp\tan\theta(E) & 1 \pm \sec\theta(E) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Theorem 6.3. *For n large enough, we have*

$$\frac{A_{2n}}{t_{2n}} = \frac{C_{\hat{\eta}}(E)}{2} + O(e^{-2^{n-1}\gamma}), \quad \frac{B_{2n}}{t_{2n}} = \frac{C_{-\hat{\eta}}(E)}{2} + O(e^{-2^{n-1}\gamma}). \tag{6.4}$$

Proof. By (2.13), we have

$$\frac{A_{2n+2}}{t_{2n+2}} = \frac{t_{2n}t_{2n+1}}{t_{2n+2}}A_{2n} - \frac{t_{2n}\nu_n}{t_{2n+2}}V - \frac{t_{2n}\omega_n}{t_{2n+2}}W + \frac{(1-t_{2n}^2)}{t_{2n+2}}I \tag{6.5}$$

Then by (6.2), there exist two constants $c, d > 0$ such that

$$\left\|\frac{A_{2n+2}}{t_{2n+2}}\right\| \le c + de^{-2^n\gamma}\left\|\frac{A_{2n}}{t_{2n}}\right\|.$$

By Lemma 2.8, $\|A_{2n}/t_{2n}\| = O(1)$. Together with (6.5) and (6.2), we get

$$\frac{A_{2n+2}}{t_{2n+2}} = \frac{1}{2}(I - \varepsilon_n\sec\theta\ V - \varepsilon_n\tan\theta\ W) + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}).$$

This proves the first equation of (6.4).

The proof of the second equation of (6.4) is analogous. □

Since $E \in \Sigma_{III}$, $t_n(E) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Write $\hat{\xi}_n = -\mathrm{sign}(t_{2n-1})$ and $\hat{\delta}_n = \prod_{j=1}^n \hat{\xi}_j$.

Theorem 6.4. *For n large enough, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} t_{2n-1}A_{2n-1} &= \pm\frac{\hat{\delta}_n U C_{\hat{\eta}}(E)}{\sqrt{2}} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}), \\ t_{2n-1}B_{2n-1} &= \mp\frac{\hat{\delta}_n U C_{-\hat{\eta}}(E)}{\sqrt{2}} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By using (2.12), (6.1) and (6.2), the same proof as that in Theorem 5.4 shows that, there exist two nonzero matrices C' and $\widehat{C}'$ such that

$$t_{2n-1}A_{2n-1} = \hat{\delta}_n C' + O(e^{-2^n\gamma});\ t_{2n-1}B_{2n-1} = \hat{\delta}_n \widehat{C}' + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}). \tag{6.6}$$

To get the exact forms of C' and $\widehat{C}'$, we need further informations. We postpone the proof to Section 6.4. □

Remark 6.5. Recall that $A_n = T_{2^n}$. Thus Theorem 6.3 implies that $\|T_k\| \sim e^{\gamma\sqrt{k}}$ for $k = 2^{2n}$, while Theorem 6.4 implies that $\|T_k\| \sim e^{\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{k}}$ for $k = 2^{2n-1}$. This implies that there exists fluctuation for the coefficients of $\sqrt{k}$. For type-II energy, we observe the same phenomenon, as Remark 5.5 has suggested.

6.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.4 for type-III energy.**

Similar as Lemma 5.7, we have the following result, the proof of which is essentially the same and will be omitted.

Lemma 6.6. *There exists constant $C = C(E) > 1$ such that*

$$C^{-n-2}e^{2^{n-1}\gamma} \le \|T_k\|, \|\overline{T}_k\| \le C^{n+2}e^{2^n\gamma}. \tag{6.7}$$

if $2^{2n-1} \le k < 2^{2n+1}$. Consequently, if $k < 2^{2n+1}$, then

$$\|T_k\|, \|\overline{T}_k\| \le C^{n+2}e^{2^n\gamma}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4(type-III energy). By Lemma 2.2 (iii), we only need to consider T_n. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let k be the unique integer such that $2^{2k-1} \le n < 2^{2k+1}$. Then $2^{k-1/2} \le \sqrt{n} < 2^{k+1/2}$. By (6.7), we have

$$n^{-\alpha}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{n}} \le C^{-k-2}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{n}} \le \|T_n\| \le C^{k+2}e^{\sqrt{2}\gamma\sqrt{n}} \le n^{\alpha}e^{\sqrt{2}\gamma\sqrt{n}}.$$

where $\alpha = 3\log C/\log 2$. Then Theorem 1.4 holds for any $c_2 > \sqrt{2}$ and $c_1 \in (0, \sqrt{2}/4)$. □

6.4. **Stable directions of $\{A_{2n-1}\}$ and $\{B_{2n-1}\}$.**

By an analogous proof with Proposition 5.8, we have the following

Proposition 6.7. *There exist unit vectors $s, \hat{s} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that*

$$\|A_{2n-1}s\|,\ \|B_{2n-1}\hat{s}\| = O(e^{-2^{n-1}\gamma}). \tag{6.8}$$

and for any unit vector $v(\hat{v})$ which is independent with $s(\hat{s})$,

$$\|A_{2n-1}v\|,\ \|B_{2n-1}\hat{v}\| \gtrsim e^{2^{n-1}\gamma}.$$

We call s and $\hat{s}$ the *stable directions* of $\{A_{2n-1}\}$ and $\{B_{2n-1}\}$, respectively. In the following, we study the exact forms of s and $\hat{s}$.

Lemma 6.8. *$C_{\hat{\eta}}(E)s = \vec{0}$ and $C_{-\hat{\eta}}(E)\hat{s} = \vec{0}$.*

Proof. At first we show that $C_{\hat{\eta}}(E)s = \vec{0}$. Indeed, if otherwise, $C_{\hat{\eta}}(E)s \neq \vec{0}$. By Theorem 6.3, we have $\|A_{2n}s\| = \frac{|t_{2n}|}{2}\|C_{\hat{\eta}}(E)s + o(1)\| \sim e^{2^n\gamma}$ and $\|B_{2n}\| \sim e^{2^n\gamma}$. Consequently

$$\|A_{2n+1}s\| = \|B_{2n}A_{2n}s\| \geq \frac{\|A_{2n}s\|}{\|B_{2n}^{-1}\|} = \frac{\|A_{2n}s\|}{\|B_{2n}\|} \sim 1,$$

which contradicts with (6.8).

The same argument shows that $C_{-\hat{\eta}}(E)\hat{s} = \vec{0}$. □

By (6.3), $C_+(E)$ and $C_-(E)$ are of rank one, and by direct computation,

$$C_+(E)v_{-\frac{\theta(E)}{2}} = \vec{0} \quad \text{and} \quad C_-(E)v_{\frac{\theta(E)-\pi}{2}} = \vec{0}. \tag{6.9}$$

Thus we have:

Corollary 6.9. (i) *If $\hat{\eta} = +$, then*

$$s \parallel v_{-\frac{\theta(E)}{2}}, \quad \hat{s} \parallel v_{\frac{\theta(E)-\pi}{2}}.$$

If $\hat{\eta} = -$, then

$$s \parallel v_{\frac{\theta(E)-\pi}{2}}, \quad \hat{s} \parallel v_{-\frac{\theta(E)}{2}}.$$

Consequently $Us \parallel \hat{s}$ and $s \nparallel \hat{s}$.

(ii) *If $|E| = \lambda$, then $s \parallel v_0$ or $v_{\pi/2}$.*

(iii) *If $|E| \neq \lambda$, then $s \nparallel v_0$, $v_{\pm\pi/4}$, $v_{\pi/2}$.*

Proof. (i) The first two statements follow directly from (6.9). It is seen that $Uv_{-\frac{\theta(E)}{2}} = v_{\frac{\theta(E)-\pi}{2}}$, consequently $Us \parallel \hat{s}$. To have $s \parallel \hat{s}$, we need $-\frac{\theta(E)}{2} = \frac{\theta(E)-\pi}{2} + k\pi$, or equivalently, $\theta(E) = \frac{\pi}{2} - k\pi$. However by Lemma 4.6, $|\theta(E)| < \frac{\pi}{2}$. So $s \nparallel \hat{s}$.

(ii) By (4.3) and the definition of $\theta(E)$, $|E| = \lambda$ if and only if $\theta(E) = 0$. Then (ii) follows from (i).

(iii) Since $|E| \neq \lambda$, we have $0 < |\theta(E)| < \frac{\pi}{2}$. The result follows from (i). □

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 6.4:

Proof of Theorem 6.4(continued). Recall that by (6.6),

$$t_{2n-1}A_{2n-1} = \hat{\delta}_n C' + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}).$$

By taking the traces on both sides, we get $\mathrm{tr}(C') = \hat{\delta}_n t_{2n-1}^2 + O(e^{-2^n\gamma})$. Since $t_{2n-1} \sim e^{-2^{n-1}\gamma}$, we get $\mathrm{tr}(C') = 0$. Moreover, by (6.8), we have $A_{2n-1}s = t_{2n-1}^{-1}(\hat{\delta}_n C's + O(e^{-2^n\gamma})) = O(e^{-2^{n-1}\gamma})$. Since $t_{2n-1} \sim e^{-2^{n-1}\gamma}$, we conclude that $C's = \vec{0}$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 6.8 and direct computation, we have

$$UC_{\hat{\eta}}(E)s = \vec{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{tr}(UC_{\hat{\eta}}(E)) = 0.$$

Thus there exists $c \neq 0$ such that $C' = c\, UC_{\hat{\eta}}(E)$. By the same argument, there exists $\hat{c} \neq 0$ such that $\hat{C}' = \hat{c}\, UC_{-\hat{\eta}}(E)$.

In the following we compute c and $\hat{c}$. By the formulas of C' and $\hat{C}'$, for n large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\delta}_n t_{2n-1}(A_{2n-1} - B_{2n-1}) \\ = \;& (c-\hat{c})U + \hat{\eta}\sec\theta(c+\hat{c})W + \hat{\eta}\tan\theta(c+\hat{c})V + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $A_{2n-1} - B_{2n-1} = \mu_n U$. Then by (6.1), we conclude that

$$t_{2n-1}(A_{2n-1} - B_{2n-1}) = \pm(\sqrt{2} + o(1))U.$$

Consequently,

$$(\pm\sqrt{2} + \hat{c} - c)U + \hat{\eta}\sec\theta(c+\hat{c})W + \hat{\eta}\tan\theta(c+\hat{c})V = o(1).$$

Since U, V, W are linearly independent, we have

$$\pm\sqrt{2} + \hat{c} - c = \sec\theta(c+\hat{c}) = \tan\theta(c+\hat{c}) = 0.$$

Since $\sec\theta \neq 0$, we get $c = -\hat{c} = \pm\sqrt{2}/2$. □

Next we study the behaviors of $A_{2n}s$ and $B_{2n}\hat{s}$.

Proposition 6.10. *We have*

$$A_{2n}s = \pm\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\hat{s} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}), \quad \text{and} \quad B_{2n}\hat{s} = \mp\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}s + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}).$$

Proof. By Theorem 6.4, without loss of generality, we take $\hat{\eta} = +$ and assume

$$t_{2n-1}A_{2n-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}UC_+ + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_n & \tilde{u}_n \\ \tilde{y}_n & \tilde{z}_n \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{z}_n = O(e^{-2^n\gamma})$. Define

$$c_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\tilde{u}_n}{1+\sec\theta}.$$

Since $\theta = \theta(E) \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, $\sec\theta > 0$. Thus $c_n = 1/\sqrt{2} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma})$. By (6.3), there are x_n, y_n, z_n such that

$$t_{2n-1}A_{2n-1} = c_n UC_+ + \begin{pmatrix} x_n & 0 \\ y_n & z_n \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.10}$$

with $x_n, y_n, z_n = O(e^{-2^n\gamma})$. By (6.10) and Remark 2.3,

$$t_{2n-1}B_{2n-1} = -c_n UC_- + \begin{pmatrix} x_n & -y_n \\ 0 & z_n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.11}$$

Taking traces on both sides of (6.10), we have

$$t_{2n-1}^2 = x_n + z_n. \tag{6.12}$$

Taking determinants on both sides of (6.10), we have

$$t_{2n-1}^2 = c_n((x_n - z_n)\tan\theta - y_n(1+\sec\theta)) + x_n z_n. \tag{6.13}$$

Since $\hat{\eta} = +$, by Corollary 6.9, without loss of generality, we can take

$$s = v_{-\frac{\theta}{2}} = (\cos\frac{\theta}{2}, \sin\frac{\theta}{2})^t \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{s} = v_{\frac{\theta-\pi}{2}} = (\sin\frac{\theta}{2}, \cos\frac{\theta}{2})^t.$$

Write

$$s_\perp := (-\sin\frac{\theta}{2}, \cos\frac{\theta}{2})^t \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{s}_\perp := (\cos\frac{\theta}{2}, -\sin\frac{\theta}{2})^t,$$

then $s \perp s_\perp$ and $\hat{s} \perp \hat{s}_\perp$. Moreover by direct computation,

$$UC_+ = 2\sec\theta\ s \cdot s_\perp^t, \quad UC_- = 2\sec\theta\ \hat{s} \cdot \hat{s}_\perp^t. \tag{6.14}$$

Thus $(UC_+)^2 = (UC_-)^2$ is zero matrix. Write

$$X := \begin{pmatrix} x_n & 0 \\ y_n & z_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y := \begin{pmatrix} x_n & -y_n \\ 0 & z_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rrcl} \Delta_1 := & \hat{s}_\perp^t X s & = & x_n\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} - y_n\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2} - z_n\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2} \\ \Delta_2 := & s_\perp^t Y \hat{s} & = & -x_n\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2} + y_n\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2} + z_n\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} \\ \Delta_3 := & \hat{s}_\perp^t Y X s & = & x_n^2\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} - (y_n\cos\frac{\theta}{2} + z_n\sin\frac{\theta}{2})^2 \\ \Delta_4 := & s_\perp^t X Y \hat{s} & = & z_n^2\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} - (y_n\cos\frac{\theta}{2} - x_n\sin\frac{\theta}{2})^2. \end{array}$$

By (6.10), (6.11), (6.14) and Lemma 6.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{2n-1}^2 A_{2n} s &= -c_n UC_- Xs + YXs = -(2c_n\Delta_1\sec\theta)\hat{s} + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}), \\ t_{2n-1}^2 B_{2n}\hat{s} &= c_n UC_+ Y\hat{s} + XY\hat{s} = (2c_n\Delta_2\sec\theta)s + O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

It is seen that $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 = O(e^{-2^n\gamma})$. Since $c_n = 1/\sqrt{2} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2n}s &= -\sqrt{2}\sec\theta\ t_{2n-1}^{-2}\Delta_1\ \hat{s} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}), \\ B_{2n}\hat{s} &= \sqrt{2}\sec\theta\ t_{2n-1}^{-2}\Delta_2\ s + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Now we study $t_{2n-1}^{-2}\Delta_i$. Since $C_+ s = \vec{0}$ and $(UC_-)^2$ is zero matrix, by (6.14),

$$\begin{array}{rl} & t_{2n-1}^4 A_{2n+1} s \\ = & (t_{2n-1}A_{2n-1})(t_{2n-1}B_{2n-1})(t_{2n-1}B_{2n-1})(t_{2n-1}A_{2n-1})s \\ = & (c_n UC_+ + X)(-c_n UC_- + Y)(-c_n UC_- + Y)(c_n UC_+ + X)s \\ = & -c_n^2 UC_+ UC_- YXs - c_n^2 UC_+ YUC_- Xs + O(e^{-3\times 2^n\gamma}) \\ = & -(4c_n^2\sec^2\theta)s\,(s_\perp^t\hat{s}\hat{s}_\perp^t YXs + s_\perp^t Y\hat{s}\hat{s}_\perp^t Xs) + O(e^{-3\times 2^n\gamma}) \\ = & -4c_n^2\sec^2\theta(\Delta_3\cos\theta + \Delta_1\Delta_2)s + O(e^{-3\times 2^n\gamma}). \end{array}$$

By (6.8), $A_{2n+1}s = O(e^{-2^n\gamma})$. By (6.1), $t_{2n-1}^4 \sim O(e^{-2^{n+1}\gamma})$. Thus we have

$$\Delta_3\cos\theta + \Delta_1\Delta_2 = O(e^{-3\times 2^n\gamma}).$$

Analogously, we have

$$t_{2n-1}^4 B_{2n+1}\hat{s} = -4c_n^2 \sec^2\theta(\Delta_4\cos\theta + \Delta_1\Delta_2)\hat{s} + O(e^{-3\times 2^n\gamma}),$$

and consequently

$$\Delta_4\cos\theta + \Delta_1\Delta_2 = O(e^{-3\times 2^n\gamma}).$$

Since $\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, we have $\cos\theta \neq 0$. Thus we conclude that

$$\Delta_3 - \Delta_4 = O(e^{-3\times 2^n\gamma}).$$

On the other hand, by (6.12),

$$\Delta_3 - \Delta_4 = (x_n + z_n)(x_n - z_n - y_n\sin\theta) = t_{2n-1}^2(x_n - z_n - y_n\sin\theta),$$

Thus we have

$$t_{2n-1}^{-2}(x_n - z_n - y_n\sin\theta) = t_{2n-1}^{-4}(\Delta_3 - \Delta_4) = O(e^{-2^n\gamma}).$$

Together with (6.12), (6.13), we get

$$\begin{cases} t_{2n-1}^{-2}x_n &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}\sin\theta}{2(1+\cos\theta)} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}), \\ t_{2n-1}^{-2}y_n &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+\cos\theta} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}), \\ t_{2n-1}^{-2}z_n &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}\sin\theta}{2(1+\cos\theta)} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma}). \end{cases}$$

Then $t_{2n-1}^{-2}\Delta_1, t_{2n-1}^{-2}\Delta_2 = \frac{\cos\theta}{2} + O(e^{-2^n\gamma})$. By (6.15), the result follows. □

6.5. **One-sided Subordinate solution.**

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.6. At first, analogous to Lemma 5.12, we have:

Lemma 6.11. *There exist $\alpha = \alpha(E) > 0$ and unit vector $\hat{v}$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any vector $w \nparallel \hat{v}$, we have*

$$\|T_n w\| \gtrsim n^{-\alpha} e^{\frac{\gamma}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{n}}.$$

Recall that ψ^θ is the solution of $H_\lambda\psi = E\psi$ with $\vec{\psi}_0 = v_\theta$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. (i) Let s and $\hat{s}$ be the the stable directions of $\{A_{2n-1} : n \geq 1\}$ and $\{B_{2n-1} : n \geq 1\}$, respectively. Assume $\hat{\theta}$ is such that $v_{\hat{\theta}} = s$. By Corollary 6.9, $\hat{\theta} \neq \pm\pi/4 + k\pi$ and $\hat{s} \parallel v_{-\hat{\theta}-\frac{\pi}{2}}$. Define $\psi^r := \psi^{\hat{\theta}}$ and $\psi^l := \psi^{-\hat{\theta}-\frac{\pi}{2}}$. Let us check that ψ^r and ψ^l satisfy the desired properties.

We deal with ψ^r firstly. At first we claim that $\hat{v} \parallel s$, where $\hat{v}$ is from Lemma 6.11. Indeed if on the contrary, we have

$$\|T_n s\| \gtrsim n^{-\alpha} e^{\frac{\gamma}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{n}} \to \infty.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 6.7, we have

$$\|T_{2^{2n-1}}s\| = \|A_{2n-1}s\| = O(e^{-2^{n-1}\gamma}) \to 0.$$

Thus we get a contradiction.

Since $\vec{\psi}^r_n = T_n\vec{\psi}^r_0 = T_n s$, the third equation of (1.15) follows from Proposition 6.7; since $\hat{s} \parallel v_{-\hat{\theta}-\frac{\pi}{2}}$, the fourth equation of (1.15) follows from Proposition 6.10.

By Corollary 6.9 (i), $s \nparallel \hat{s}$. Since $Uv_{\hat{\theta}} = v_{-\frac{\pi}{2}-\hat{\theta}}$, by (2.8) and Lemma 6.11,

$$\|\vec{\psi}^r_{-n}\| = \|T_{-n}\vec{\psi}^{\hat{\theta}}_0\| = \|UT_nUv_{\hat{\theta}}\| = \|T_n v_{-\frac{\pi}{2}-\hat{\theta}}\| = \|T_n\hat{s}\| \gtrsim e^{\frac{\gamma}{4}\sqrt{n}}.$$

That is, the second equation of (1.15) holds.

Finally the proof of the first equation of (1.15) is the same as that of the first equation of (1.13).

Now we consider ψ^l. By (2.8), for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\vec{\psi}^l_{-n} = T_{-n}v_{-\frac{\pi}{2}-\hat{\theta}} = UT_nUv_{-\frac{\pi}{2}-\hat{\theta}} = UT_nv_{\hat{\theta}} = U\vec{\psi}_n,$$

or equivalently, $\psi^l_n = \psi^r_{1-n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then (1.16) follows from (1.15).

(ii) By the assumption, $\vec{\phi}_0 \nparallel s, \hat{s}$. Then for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 6.11,

$$\|\vec{\phi}_n\| = \|T_n\vec{\phi}_0\| \gtrsim e^{\frac{\gamma}{4}\sqrt{n}}.$$

Since $\vec{\phi}_0 \nparallel \hat{s}$, by Corollary 6.9 (i), $U\vec{\phi}_0 \nparallel U\hat{s} \parallel s$. By (2.8) and Lemma 6.11,

$$\|\vec{\phi}_{-n}\| = \|T_{-n}\vec{\phi}_0\| = \|UT_nU\vec{\phi}_0\| = \|T_nU\vec{\phi}_0\| \gtrsim e^{\frac{\gamma}{4}\sqrt{n}}.$$

Thus (1.17) holds. □

7. Local dimensions of the spectral measure

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.7. The main tool is the subordinacy theory developed in [31, 30, 41, 38, 39]. Let us recall the basic settings and the main results of [38, 39].

7.1. **Basic notations and facts.**

Fix a bounded real potential V and consider the operator $H = H_V$. Let $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^- = \{\cdots, -2, -1, 0\}$. Consider the family of phase boundary conditions:

$$\psi_0 \cos\beta + \psi_1 \sin\beta = 0, \tag{7.1}$$

where $-\pi/2 < \beta \le \pi/2$.

Let $z = E + i\epsilon$ and consider equation

$$Hu = zu. \tag{7.2}$$

It is known that for $\epsilon > 0$, the eigen-equation has unique(up to normalization) solutions $\hat{u}^{\pm}_z$ which are in ℓ^2 at, correspondingly, $\pm\infty$. Let $\hat{u}^{\pm}_{\beta,z}$ be $\hat{u}^{\pm}_z$ normalized by $\hat{u}^{\pm}_{\beta,z}(0)\cos\beta + \hat{u}^{\pm}_{\beta,z}(1)\sin\beta = 1$. We denote by $u^{\pm}_{\beta,z}$ the solution of (7.2) on $\mathbb{Z}^{\pm}$ satisfying the boundary condition (7.1) and normalized

by $|u^{\pm}_{\beta,z}(0)|^2 + |u^{\pm}_{\beta,z}(1)|^2 = 1$. For z in the upper half-plane, the right and left Weyl-Titchmarsh m-functions are uniquely defined by

$$\hat{u}^{\pm}_{\beta,z} = u^{\pm}_{\beta+\pi/2,z} \mp m^{\pm}_{\beta}(z) u^{\pm}_{\beta,z}.$$

From the definition, we know that $m^{\pm}_{\beta+\pi}(z) = m^{\pm}_{\beta}(z)$.

For $\Im z > 0$, define the whole-line m-function as

$$M(z) = \frac{m^+_0(z)m^-_0(z) - 1}{m^+_0(z) + m^-_0(z)} = \frac{m^+_\beta(z)m^-_\beta(z) - 1}{m^+_\beta(z) + m^-_\beta(z)}.$$

$M(z)$ coincides with the Borel transform of the spectral measure μ of H:

$$M(z) = \int \frac{d\mu(x)}{x - z}.$$

It is shown in [26] that, for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$,

$$\begin{cases} \limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon^{1-\alpha}|M(E + i\epsilon)| = \infty & \Leftrightarrow D^\alpha_\mu(E) = \infty \\ \limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon^{1-\alpha}|M(E + i\epsilon)| = 0 & \Leftrightarrow D^\alpha_\mu(E) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{7.3}$$

For any $u : \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$, define the norm of u over an interval of length L as

$$\|u\|_L := \left(\sum_{n=1}^{[L]} |u(n)|^2 + (L - [L])|u([L] + 1)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where $[L]$ denotes the integer part of L. Similarly, for any $u : \mathbb{Z}^- \to \mathbb{R}$, define the norm of u over an interval of length L as

$$\|u\|_L := \left(\sum_{n=0}^{[L]-1} |u(-n)|^2 + (L - [L])|u(-[L])|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Now given any $\epsilon > 0$, define the lengths $L^{\pm}_\beta(\epsilon)$ by requiring the equality

$$\|u^{\pm}_{\beta,E}\|_{L^{\pm}_\beta(\epsilon)} \|u^{\pm}_{\beta+\frac{\pi}{2},E}\|_{L^{\pm}_\beta(\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{2\epsilon}. \tag{7.4}$$

Note that $L^{\pm}_\beta(\epsilon) \to \infty$ when $\epsilon \to 0$. It is proven in [38] that

$$|m^+_\beta(E + i\epsilon)| \sim \frac{\|u^+_{\beta+\frac{\pi}{2},E}\|_{L^+_\beta(\epsilon)}}{\|u^+_{\beta,E}\|_{L^+_\beta(\epsilon)}}. \tag{7.5}$$

Now introduce operator $\mathscr{U} : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ as $(\mathscr{U}\psi)_n = \psi_{1-n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By this operator, the space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^-)$ is naturally identified with $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^+)$. If we define an operator $\tilde{H} := \mathscr{U} H \mathscr{U}^{-1}$, it is seen that $\tilde{H}$ is another Schrödinger operator with potential $\tilde{V}$, which is the reflection of V with respect to the

point 1/2. Let $\tilde{m}^+_\beta, \tilde{u}^\pm_\beta, \tilde{L}^\pm_\beta$, denote, correspondingly, $m^+_\beta, u^\pm_\beta, L^\pm_\beta$ of the operator $\tilde{H}$. Then by an elementary computation, we have

$$M(z) = \frac{m^+_\beta(z)\tilde{m}^+_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\beta}(z) - 1}{m^+_\beta(z) + \tilde{m}^+_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\beta}(z)}.$$

Now we go back to the Thue-Morse potential λw. By (2.2), we know that λw is symmetric with respect to 1/2, consequently we have $\tilde{H}_\lambda = H_\lambda$ and hence $\tilde{m}^+_\beta = m^+_\beta$. Thus for Thue-Morse Hamiltonian H_λ, the Weyl's m-function satisfies

$$M(z) = \frac{m^+_\beta(z)m^+_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\beta}(z) - 1}{m^+_\beta(z) + m^+_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\beta}(z)}, \quad (\forall \beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2]). \tag{7.6}$$

7.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.7.**

At first we consider type-I energy. By (1.11), if $E \in \Sigma_I$, then for any $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2]$,

$$\|u^+_{\beta,E}\|_L \sim L^{1/2}. \tag{7.7}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.7 ($E \in \Sigma_I$). Take $\beta = \pi/4$. By (7.7) and (7.5), we have $|m^+_{\pi/4}(E + i\epsilon)| \sim 1$. So by (7.6), $|M(E + i\epsilon)| \lesssim 1$. Then by (7.3), $D^\alpha_\mu(E) = 0$ for all $\alpha < 1$. Thus by (1.18), we have $d_\mu(E) \geq 1$.

Next we show that $\mu(\Sigma_I) = 0$. If otherwise, we have $\mu(\Sigma_I) > 0$. Since $d_\mu(E) \geq 1$ for every $E \in \Sigma_I$, it is well-known that $\dim_H \Sigma_I \geq 1$(see for example [28]). On the other hand, notice that Σ_I is countable, then $\dim_H \Sigma_I = 0$, we get a contradiction. □

Next we consider type-II energy.

Lemma 7.1. *If $E \in \Sigma_{II}$, then there exists $\alpha, \gamma > 0$ and $\theta = \pm\pi/4$ such that*

$$\|u^+_{\theta,E}\|_L \lesssim L^{\alpha+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u^+_{\beta,E}\|_L \gtrsim e^{\gamma\sqrt{L}/4}, \quad (\forall \beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2] \setminus \{\theta\}). \tag{7.8}$$

Proof. Let ψ be the unique subordinate solution in Theorem 1.5, assume $\vec{\psi}_0 = v_\theta$, then $\theta = \pm\pi/4$. By the definition of $u^+_{\theta,E}$ and the first inequality of (1.13), for any $n \geq 1$, we have $|u^+_{\theta,E}(n)| = |\psi(n)| \lesssim n^\alpha$. Consequently

$$\|u^+_{\theta,E}\|_L \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{[L]+1} |u^+_{\theta,E}(n)|^2\right)^{1/2} \lesssim L^{\alpha+1}.$$

If $\beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ and $\beta \neq \theta$, by (1.14) we have $|u^+_{\beta,E}(n)|^2 + |u^+_{\beta,E}(n+1)|^2 \gtrsim e^{\gamma\sqrt{n}/2}$. In particular, $\|u^+_{\beta,E}\|^2_L \geq |u^+_{\beta,E}([L]-1)|^2 + |u^+_{\beta,E}([L])|^2 \gtrsim e^{\gamma\sqrt{L}/2}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.7 ($E \in \Sigma_{II}$). Fix any $E \in \Sigma_{II}$. Assume $\theta = \pm\pi/4$ is given by Lemma 7.1, then by (7.5) and (7.8),

$$|m_\theta^+(E+i\epsilon)| \sim \frac{\|u^+_{\theta+\pi/2,E}\|_{L_\theta^+(\epsilon)}}{\|u^+_{\theta,E}\|_{L_\theta^+(\epsilon)}} \gtrsim \frac{e^{\gamma\sqrt{L_\theta^+(\epsilon)}/4}}{(L_\theta^+(\epsilon))^{\alpha+1}} \gtrsim e^{\gamma\sqrt{L_\theta^+(\epsilon)}/5}. \tag{7.9}$$

Since $\theta = \pm\pi/4$, we have $m_\theta^+ = m^+_{\pi/2-\theta}$. By (7.6) and (7.9),

$$|M(E+i\epsilon)| \sim |m_\theta^+(E+i\epsilon)|.$$

Fix any $\eta \in (0,1)$, by (7.4), (7.5) and (7.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon^{1-\eta}|M(E+i\epsilon)| &\sim \epsilon^{1-\eta}|m_\theta^+(E+i\epsilon)| \sim \frac{\|u^+_{\theta+\pi/2,E}\|^\eta_{L_\theta^+(\epsilon)}}{\|u^+_{\theta,E}\|^{2-\eta}_{L_\theta^+(\epsilon)}} \\ &\gtrsim \frac{e^{\gamma\eta\sqrt{L_\theta^+(\epsilon)}/4}}{(L_\theta^+(\epsilon))^{(\alpha+1)(2-\eta)}} \gtrsim e^{\gamma\eta\sqrt{L_\theta^+(\epsilon)}/5} \to \infty\end{aligned}$$

when $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. By (7.3), we conclude that $D_\mu^\eta(E) = \infty$. Then by (1.18), we conclude that $d_\mu(E) = 0$.

Now a well known result in fractal geometry shows that $\dim_H \Sigma_{II} = 0$ (see for example [28]). □

At last, we consider type-III energy.

Lemma 7.2. *If $E \in \Sigma_{III}$, then there exist $\alpha, \gamma > 0$, $\beta(E) \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ such that*

(7.10)

$$\|u^+_{\beta(E),E}\|_L \lesssim L^{\alpha+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u^+_{\beta,E}\|_L \gtrsim e^{\gamma\sqrt{L}/4}, \quad (\forall \beta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2] \setminus \{\beta(E)\}).$$

Moreover if $E \in \Sigma_{III,1}$, then $\beta(E) = 0$ or $\pi/2$; if $E \in \Sigma_{III,2}$, then $\beta(E) \neq 0, \pm\pi/4, \pi/2$.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 1.6, ψ^r is the solution with $\vec{\psi}_0^r = s$, where s is the stable direction of $\{A_{2n-1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Assume $\beta(E) \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ is such that $s = v_{\beta(E)}$, then $u^+_{\beta(E),E}(n) = \psi^r(n)$ for $n \geq 1$. Now the proof of (7.10) is the same as that of (7.8), by using (1.15), (1.16) and (1.17). $\beta(E) = 0$ or $\pi/2$ for $E \in \Sigma_{III,1}$ follows from the definition. $\beta(E) \neq 0, \pm\pi/4, \pi/2$ for $E \in \Sigma_{III,2}$ follows from the definition and Corollary 6.9 (iii). □

Indeed, we know more about $\Sigma_{III,1}$:

Lemma 7.3. *$\Sigma_{III,1} \subset \{\lambda, -\lambda\}$. Moreover, if $|\lambda| > 1$, then $\Sigma_{III,1} = \emptyset$; on the other hand, there exists a uncountable set $\Gamma \subset [-1,1] \setminus \{0\}$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Gamma$, we have $\Sigma_{III,1} = \{\lambda, -\lambda\}$.*

Proof. At first we show that $\Sigma_{III,1} \subset \{\lambda, -\lambda\}$. Assume $\Sigma_{III,1}$ is nonempty and take $E \in \Sigma_{III,1}$. By the definition of $\Sigma_{III,1}$, $\vec{\psi}_0^r = v_0$ or $v_{\frac{\pi}{2}}$. By the proof of Theorem 1.6, $\vec{\psi}_0^r = s$, where s is the stable direction of $\{A_{2n-1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By Corollary 6.9, $E = \pm\lambda$.

Now we show that $\Sigma_{III,1}$ is empty when $|\lambda| > 1$. If otherwise, take $E \in \Sigma_{III,1}$, then $E = \pm\lambda$. By (2.4), $t_1(E) = -2$ and $t_2(E) = 2 - 4\lambda^2 < -2$. Then by (2.5), $t_3(E) = 2 - 16\lambda^2 < -2$. Thus $E \notin \sigma_2 \cup \sigma_3$. Hence $E \notin \sigma(H_\lambda)$ by (1.10), which is a contradiction.

Define a curve $\mathcal{C}$ as

$$\mathcal{C} := \{f(-2, y) : \frac{5}{4} \le y \le \frac{7}{4}\}.$$

Since $f(-2, y) = (4y - 6, 4y^2(y - 2) + 2)$, it is easy to check that $\mathcal{C} \subset S$, $\mathcal{C}$ stays below the parabola $\mathscr{P}$ and touches the left and right boundaries of S. Then the same proof as Theorem 1.3 shows that $(\Lambda_\omega \cap \mathcal{C}) \setminus \mathscr{P} \neq \emptyset$ for each $\omega \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Assume $y_\omega \in [5/4, 7/4]$ is such that $f(-2, y_\omega) \in (\Lambda_\omega \cap \mathcal{C}) \setminus \mathscr{P}$, then $y_\omega \neq y_{\tilde{\omega}}$ for $\omega \neq \tilde{\omega}$. For each ω, define

$$\lambda_{\omega,\pm} := \pm\frac{\sqrt{2 - y_\omega}}{2}.$$

Define $\Gamma := \{\lambda_{\omega,\pm} : \omega \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$.

Now fix $\lambda \in \Gamma$, we will show that $\pm\lambda \in \sigma(H_\lambda)$ and they are type-III energies. At first, by (2.4), we have $t_1(\pm\lambda) = -2$ and $(t_2(\pm\lambda)) = 2 - 4\lambda^2$. By the definition of Γ, there exists $y_\omega \in [5/4, 7/4]$ such that

$$f(t_1(\pm\lambda), t_2(\pm\lambda)) = f(-2, 2 - 4\lambda^2) = f(-2, y_\omega) \in \Lambda_\omega \setminus \mathscr{P}.$$

On the other hand, we know that

$$f(t_1(\pm\lambda), t_2(\pm\lambda)) = (t_3(\pm\lambda), t_4(\pm\lambda)) = \varphi_3(\pm\lambda).$$

This means that $\varphi_3(\pm\lambda) \in \Lambda(S) \setminus \mathscr{P}$. Hence by Lemma 4.5, we conclude that $\pm\lambda \in \Sigma_{III}$. Now by Corollary 6.9, $\Sigma_{III,1} = \{\lambda, -\lambda\}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.7 ($E \in \Sigma_{III}$). At first we fix $E \in \Sigma_{III,1}$. Then we have $\beta(E) = 0$ or $\pi/2$. By (7.10) and (7.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |m^+_{\beta(E)}(E + i\epsilon)| &\sim \frac{\|u^+_{\beta(E)+\pi/2,E}\|_{L^+_{\beta(E)}(\epsilon)}}{\|u^+_{\beta(E),E}\|_{L^+_{\beta(E)}(\epsilon)}} \to \infty \\ |m^+_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\beta(E)}(E + i\epsilon)| &\sim \frac{\|u^+_{\pi-\beta(E),E}\|_{L^+_{\beta(E)}(\epsilon)}}{\|u^+_{\pi/2-\beta(E),E}\|_{L^+_{\beta(E)}(\epsilon)}} = \frac{\|u^+_{\beta(E),E}\|_{L^+_{\beta(E)}(\epsilon)}}{\|u^+_{\beta(E)+\pi/2,E}\|_{L^+_{\beta(E)}(\epsilon)}} \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

when $\epsilon \to 0$. Consequently $|m^+_{\beta(E)}(E + i\epsilon)||m^+_{\pi/2-\beta(E)}(E + i\epsilon)| \sim 1$. Then by (7.6), we know that

$$|M(E + i\epsilon)| \lesssim |m^+_{\beta(E)}(E + i\epsilon)|^{-1}.$$

We know that (equation (3.1) of [26])

$$\Im M(E+i\epsilon)=\epsilon\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\mu(y)}{(E-y)^2+\epsilon^2}\geq\frac{\mu(E-\epsilon,E+\epsilon)}{2\epsilon}.$$

Thus for any $\eta\in(0,2)$, by (7.5), (7.4) and (7.10),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mu((E-\epsilon,E+\epsilon))}{(2\epsilon)^\eta} &\lesssim \epsilon^{1-\eta}|M(E+i\epsilon)|\lesssim\epsilon^{1-\eta}|m^+_{\beta(E)}(E+i\epsilon)|^{-1}\\ &\sim \|u^+_{\beta(E)+\pi/2,E}\|^{\eta-2}_{L^+_{\beta(E)}(\epsilon)}\|u^+_{\beta(E),E}\|^{\eta}_{L^+_{\beta(E)}(\epsilon)}\\ &\lesssim e^{\gamma(\eta-2)\sqrt{L^+_{\beta(E)}(\epsilon)}/4}L^+_{\beta(E)}(\epsilon)^{\eta(\alpha+1)}\to 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $D^\eta_\mu(E)=0$ for all $\eta<2$. Thus by (1.18), we have $d_\mu(E)\geq 2$.

Since $d_\mu(E)\geq 2$ for any $E\in\Sigma_{III,1}$, and $\Sigma_{III,1}$ contains at most two points by Lemma 7.3, the same argument for $\mu(\Sigma_I)=0$ shows that $\mu(\Sigma_{III,1})=0$.

Now fix $E\in\Sigma_{III,2}$, then $\beta(E)\neq 0,\pm\pi/4,\pi/2$. Still by (7.10) and (7.5),

$$|m^+_{\beta(E)}(E+i\epsilon)|\sim\frac{\|u^+_{\beta(E)+\pi/2,E}\|_{L^+_{\beta(E)}(\epsilon)}}{\|u^+_{\beta(E),E}\|_{L^+_{\theta(E)}(\epsilon)}}\to\infty. \tag{7.11}$$

On the other hand, since $\beta(E)\neq 0,\pm\pi/4,\pi/2$, we conclude that

$$\pi-\beta(E),\quad \pi/2-\beta(E)\not\equiv\beta(E)\pmod\pi.$$

Consequently $u^+_{\pi-\beta(E),E}$ and $u^+_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\beta(E),E}$ are independent with $u^+_{\beta(E),E}$. Notice that the space of solutions of $H_\lambda u=Eu$ has dimension 2, and

$$\{u^+_{\beta(E),E},u^+_{\beta(E)+\pi/2,E}\}$$

is a basis of that space, then there exist real numbers s_1,s_2 and t_1,t_2, with $s_2,t_2\neq 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}u^+_{\pi-\beta(E),E} &= s_1u^+_{\beta(E),E}+s_2u^+_{\beta(E)+\pi/2,E},\\ u^+_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\beta(E),E} &= t_1u^+_{\beta(E),E}+t_2u^+_{\beta(E)+\pi/2,E}.\end{aligned}$$

By (7.10), we get

$$\|u^+_{\pi-\beta(E),E}\|_L,\quad \|u^+_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\beta(E),E}\|_L\sim\|u^+_{\beta(E)+\pi/2,E}\|_L$$

By (7.5), we get

$$|m^+_{\pi/2-\beta(E)}(E+i\epsilon)|\sim 1.$$

Combine with (7.6) and (7.11), we conclude that

$$|M(E+i\epsilon)|\sim 1.$$

Then by (7.3), $D^\alpha_\mu(E)=0$ for all $\alpha<1$. By (1.18), we have $d_\mu(E)\geq 1$. □

8. Appendix

In this appendix, we give another proof for the fact that the Thue-Morse Hamiltonian has no point spectrum.

Theorem 8.1. ([33, 19]) *H_λ has no point spectrum.*

We begin with the following observation:

Lemma 8.2. *If $E \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $H_\lambda \phi = E\phi$ has a solution ϕ with $\phi_{\pm n} \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$, then E is a type-II energy.*

Proof. Since the two-sided Thue-Morse sequence is symmetric w.r.t. $1/2$, $\vec{\phi}_0$ has only two choices: $v_{\pi/4}$ or $v_{-\pi/4}$, as argued in [19]. Without loss of generality, we assume $\vec{\phi}_0 = v_{\pi/4} = (1,-1)^t/\sqrt{2}$. Then we have

$$A_{2n}\vec{\phi}_0 = (\phi_{2^{2n}+1}, \phi_{2^{2n}})^t \to \vec{0}$$

when $n \to \infty$. On the other hand, by Remark 2.3, A_{2n} has the following form(see also [19]):

$$A_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ -b_n & c_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $a_n - b_n = \sqrt{2}\phi_{2^{2n}+1}$ and $-b_n - c_n = \sqrt{2}\phi_{2^{2n}}$. Consequently

$$t_{2n}(E) = a_n + c_n = \sqrt{2}(\phi_{2^{2n}+1} - \phi_{2^{2n}}) \to 0.$$

By the recurrence relation of t_n, we get

$$t_{2n+1}(E) = 2 - \frac{2 - t_{2n+2}(E)}{t_{2n}^2(E)} \to -\infty.$$

By Lemma 4.5, E is a type-II energy. □

Proof of Theorem 8.1. Assume on the contrary that E is a point spectrum of H_λ, then there exists nonzero $\phi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $H_\lambda \phi = E\phi$. Then by the above lemma, E is a type-II enenrgy. However this will contradict with Theorem 1.5, since by that theorem, no ℓ^2 solution is possible for $E \in \Sigma_{II}$. □

Acknowledgement. The authors thank Professor Wen Zhiying and Professor Rao Hui for helpful discussions. Part of the work was done when the second author visited the Chinese University of Hong Kong in July 2015, he thanks CUHK for their hospitality. Liu was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 11371055 and No. 11571030. Qu was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 11201256, No. 11371055 and No. 11431007.

References

[1] Anderson, P. W. Absence of Diffusion in Certain Random Lattices. *Phys. Rev.* 109 (5)(1958): 1492-1505.

[2] S. Aubry and G. André, Analyticity breaking and Anderson localization in incommensurate lattices, *Ann. Israel Phys. Soc.* 3 (1980), 133-164.

[3] Avila A. and Jitomirskaya S., The Ten Martini Problem. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 170 (2009), no. 1, 303-342.

[4] Axel, F., Allouche, J.P., Kleman, M., Mendes-France, M., Peyriere, J.: Vibrational modes in a one-dimensional "quasi alloy", the Morse case. *J. de Phys. C3*, 47,(1986), 181-187.

[5] Axel, F., Peyrière, J.: Extended states in a chain with controlled disorder. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. II Mc. Phys. Chim. Sci. Univers Sci. Terre* 306, 179-182 (1988)

[6] Axel, F., Peyrière, J.: Spectrum and extended states in a harmonic chain with controlled disorder: Effects of the Thue-Morse symmetry. *J. Statist. Phys.* 57, 1013-1047 (1989)

[7] A. Avila, The absolutely continuous spectrum of the almost Mathieu operator, arXiv:0810.2965.

[8] Avila, A., Krikorian, R.: Reducibility or nonuniform hyperbolicity for quasiperiodic Schrödinger cocycles. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 164 (2006), no. 3, 911–940.

[9] J. Avron, B. Simon, Singular continuous spectrum for a class of almost periodic Jacobi matrices, *Bull. A.M.S.* 6 (1982), 81-85.

[10] Bellissard, J.: Spectral properties of Schrödinger operator with a Thue-Morse potential. In: Number Theory and Physics (Les Houches, 1989), Springer Proc. Phys. 47, pp. 140-150. Springer, Berlin (1990)

[11] Bellissard J., Bovier A., Ghez J.: Spectral properties of a tight binding Hamiltonian with period doubling potential. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 135 (1991), no. 2, 379-399.

[12] Bellissard J., Bovier A., Ghez J.: Discrete Schrödinger operators with potentials generated by substitutions. Differential equations with applications to mathematical physics, 13-23, Math. Sci. Engrg., 192, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1993.

[13] Bovier A., Ghez J.: Spectral properties of one-dimensional Schröinger operators with potentials generated by substitutions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 158, 45-66 (1993)

[14] Cantat, S.: Bers and Hénon, Painlevé and Schrödinger. *Duke Math. J.* 149(2009), 411-460.

[15] Carmona R., Exponential localization in one-dimensional disordered systems. *Duke Math. J.* 49 (1982), no. 1, 191-213.

[16] Carmona R., Klein A. and Martinelli F., Anderson localization for Bernoulli and other singular potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 108 (1987), no. 1, 41-66.

[17] Carmona R. and Lacroix J., Spectral theory of random Schrödinger operators. Probability and its Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.

[18] Damanik, D., Embree, M., Gorodetski, A., Tcheremchantsev, S.: The fractal dimension of the spectrum of the Fibonacci Hamiltonian. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 280, 499-516 (2008)

[19] Damanik, D.; Ghez, J.-M.; Raymond, L. A palindromic half-line criterion for absence of eigenvalues and applications to substitution Hamiltonians. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 2 (2001), no. 5, 927–939.

[20] Damanik, D., Gorodetski, A.: Hyperbolicity of the trace map for the weakly coupled Fibonacci Hamiltonian. *Nonlinearity* 22, 123-143 (2009)

[21] Damanik, D., Gorodetski, A.: Spectral and quantum dynamical properties of the weakly coupled Fibonacci Hamiltonian. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 305, 221-277 (2011)
[22] Damanik, D., Gorodetski, A., Yessen, W.: The Fibonacci Hamiltonian. arXiv:1403.7823.
[23] Damanik D., Killip R. and Lenz D., Uniform spectral properties of one-dimensional quasicrystals. III. α-continuity. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 212 (2000), no. 1, 191-204.
[24] Damanik D., Sims R. and Stolz G., Localization for one-dimensional continuum Bernoulli-Anderson models. *Duke Math. J.* 114 (2002), no. 1, 59-100.
[25] Damanik, D., Tcheremchantsev, S.: Power-Law Bounds on Transfer Matrices and Quantum Dynamics in One Dimension. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 236(2003), 513-534.
[26] del Rio, R., Jitomirskaya, S., Last, Y., Simon, B.: Operators with singular continuous spectrum, IV. Hausdorff dimensions, rank-one perturbations, and localization. *J. d'Analyse Math.* 69(1996), 153-200.
[27] Delyon, F.; Peyrière, J. Recurrence of the eigenstates of a Schrödinger operator with automatic potential. *J. Statist. Phys.* 64 (1991), no. 1-2, 363–368.
[28] K. Falconer, techniques in fractal geometry, John Wiley& Sons, 1997.
[29] Fogg, N.: Substitutions in dynamics, arithmetics and combinatorics. Edited by V. Berthé, S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit and A. Siegel. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1794. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
[30] Gilbert, D. J., On subordinacy and analysis of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators with two singular endpoints. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* Sect. A, 112 (1989), 213-229.
[31] Gilbert, D. J. ; Pearson, D. B., On subordinacy and analysis of the spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 128 (1987), 30-56.
[32] Goldsheid I., Molchanov S. and Pastur L., Random homogeneous Schrdinger operator has a pure point spectrum. (Russian) *Funkcional. Anal. appl.* 11 (1977), 1-10.
[33] Hof, A.; Knill, O.; Simon, B. Singular continuous spectrum for palindromic Schrdinger operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 174 (1995), no. 1, 149-159.
[34] Iochum, B., Raymond, L. and Testard, D.: Resistance of one-dimensional quasicrystals. *Physica A* 187, 353-368 (1992)
[35] Iochum, B. and Testard, D.: Power law growth for the resistance in the Fibonacci model. *J. Stat. Phys.* 65, 715-723 (1991)
[36] Jitomirskaya, S. Metal-insulator transition for the almost Mathieu operator. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 150 (1999), no. 3, 1159-1175.
[37] Jitomirskaya, S., Krasovsky, I.: Continuity of the measure of the spectrum for discrete quasiperiodic operators. *Math. Res. Lett.* 9 (2002), no. 4, 413–421.
[38] Jitomirskaya S., Last Y., Power-law subordinacy and singular spectra. I. Half-line operators. *Acta Math.* 183 (1999), no. 2, 171-189.
[39] Jitomirskaya, S., Last, Y.: Power-law subordinacy and singular spectra. II. Line operators. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 211, 643-658 (2000)
[40] S. Jitomirskaya and B. Simon, Operators with singular continuous spectrum, III. Almost periodic Schrödinger operators, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 165 (1994), 201-205.
[41] Kahn, S.; Pearson, D. B., Subordinacy and spectral theory for infinite matrices. *Helv. Phys. Acta,* 65 (1992), 505-527.
[42] Kohmoto, M., Kadanoff, L., Tang, C.: Localization problem in one dimension: mapping and escape. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 50 (1983), no. 23, 1870–1872.
[43] Kotani S., Ljapunov indices determine absolutely continuous spectra of stationary random one-dimensional Schrdinger operators. Stochastic analysis (Katata/Kyoto, 1982), 225-247, North-Holland Math. Library, 32, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

[44] Last, Y.: Zero measure spectrum for the almost Mathieu operator. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 164 (1994), no. 2, 421–432.
[45] Lenz, D.: Singular spectrum of Lebesgue measure zero for one-dimensional quasicrystals. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 227, 119–130(2002).
[46] Qinghui Liu, Yanhui Qu, On the Hausdorff dimension of the spectrum of Thue-Morse Hamiltonian, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 338 (2015), no. 2, 867-891.
[47] Liu, Q. H., Tan, B., Wen, Z. X., Wu, J.: Measure zero spectrum of a class of Schrödinger operators. *J. Statist. Phys.* 106, 681-691 (2002)
[48] Liu, Q. H., Wen, Z. Y.: Hausdorff dimension of spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operator with Sturmian potentials. *Potential Analysis* 20, 33-59 (2004)
[49] Luck, J. M.: Cantor spectra and scaling of gap widths in deterministic aperiodic systems *Phys. Rev.* B 39, 5834–5849 (1989)
[50] Merlin, R., Bajema, K., Nagle, J., Ploog, K.: Raman scattering by acoustic phonons and structural properties of Fibonacci, Thue-Morse and random superlattices. *J. Phys. Colloques* 48 (1987) C5-503-506
[51] Molchanov S., Structure of the eigenfunctions of one-dimensional unordered structures. (Russian)*Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR* Ser. Mat. 42 (1978), no. 1, 70-103, 214.
[52] Newman M., Elements of the topology of plane sets of points. Cambridge, 1961.
[53] Ostlund, S., Pandit, R., Rand, D., Schellnhuber, H., Siggia, D.: One-dimensional Schrödinger equation with an almost periodic potential. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 50 (1983), no. 23, 1873–1876.
[54] Pastur L., Spectral properties of disordered systems in the one-body approximation. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 75 (1980), no. 2, 179-196.
[55] Raymond, L.: A constructive gap labelling for the discrete schrödinger operater on a quasiperiodic chain. (Preprint,1997)
[56] Riklund, R., Severin, M., Liu, Y.-Y.: The Thue-Morse aperiodic crystal, a link between the Fibonacci quasicrystal and the periodic crystal, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, B1,(1987), 121-132.
[57] Shechtman D., Blech I., Gratias D. and Cahn J., Metallic Phase with Long-Range Orientational Order and No Translational Symmetry. *Physical Review Letters* 53(1984). 1951-1953.
[58] Toda M., Theory of nonlinear lattices. Second edition. Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, 20. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

(Q.H. LIU) Department of Computer Science, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, P. R. China.
E-mail address: qhliu@bit.edu.cn

(Y.H. QU) Department of Mathematical Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China.
E-mail address: yhqu@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

(X. Yao) Department of Mathematical Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China.
E-mail address: yaox11@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn